

PRAMANA VIDNYANA - AN ANCIENT METHOD OF RESEARCH**W. S. R. T. CHARAKA SAMHITA****VD. VINAY A. PAWAR**

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Sanskrit Samhita & Siddhant, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved & Research Institute, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Research can be considered as journey from idea (which should be novel) to hypothesis (systematic formulation of an idea/ research problem) & to thesis (valid conclusions) finally. In *Ayurveda*; *Pramana Vidnyana* can be considered as scientific tools of research. These *Pramanas* are not only useful in *Roga* (Disease) & *Rogi* (Patient) *Pariksha* (examination) but also evidence of the critical scientific approach of *Ayurveda*. To gain clear & entire knowledge of science of *Ayurveda*, it was necessary to search for scientific devices or methods of approach. This necessity has prompted Charakacharya to adopt *Pramanas*. In *Ayurveda*, *Pramanas* are called as *Pariksha*, meaning scientific investigation. *Pramana vidnyana* can be considered as scientific tools of research. *Pramana*, which can be considered as one of the ancient methods of research is of utmost importance in developing research methodology in *Ayurveda*. According to Charakacharya, things are either existent or non-existent, & they can be investigated by four *Pramana*; viz.

- a) *Aptopadesha* (Authoritative statement)
- b) *Pratyaksha* (Direct Perception).
- c) *Anumana* (Inference)
- d) *Yukti* (Rationale – logical reasoning)

Aptopadesha, *Pratyaksha*, *Anumana* & *Yukti* *Pramana* are very much useful at all steps of research such as planning, operation & reporting. *Pramana vidnyana* proves that *Ayurveda* accepts the scientific method in search of truth (based on logical reasoning) & not the arbitrary or unscientific method. Thus, these *Pramanas* can be considered as ancient methods of research which are essential in development of research methodology of ancient medical science of India, i. e. *Ayurveda*.

Keywords: *Pramana*, Research, *Aptopadesha*, *Pratyaksha*, *Anumana*, *Yukti*.

INTRODUCTION:

Research is a common term refers to a search for knowledge. Research is a structured enquiry that utilizes acceptable scientific methodology to solve problems & creates a new knowledge that is generally applicable. In *Ayurveda*; *Pramana Vidnyana* can be considered as

scientific tools of research. These *Pramanas* are not only useful in *Roga* (Disease) & *Rogi* (Patient) *Pariksha* (examination) but also evidence of the critical scientific approach of *Ayurveda*. Valid or correct knowledge is called as *Prama* & the means to acquire this knowledge is called as *Pramana*.¹ *Prama*

can be considered as knowledge of science which has already been explored by various scientific methods. Like any other branch of knowledge, *Ayurveda* may need to obtain new evidence from time to time for its advancement. The critical scientific approach of *Ayurveda* is evident from its *Pramana Vidnyana*. According to Dr. R. H. Singh; a new approach should be adopted to research *Ayurveda* as a system of science & therapy, specifically within the framework of principles & philosophy of *Ayurveda*. This statement gives direction to develop research methodology of our own science by making use of principles & philosophy of *Ayurveda*.² *Pramana*, which can be considered as one of the ancient methods of research is of utmost importance in developing research methodology in *Ayurveda*. The valid knowledge can be achieved by *Pramana*.³ Charakacharya has used the word “*Pariksha*” for “*Pramana*.”⁴ *Pariksha* is a *Pramana* by which the objects are rightly known. *Pariksha* is means while *Prama* is the result, hence, in spite of being synonymous, “*Pariksha*” emphasizes on means while “*Pramana*” on the result. *Pramana* is a way in which we come to know about anything truly & objectively. *Pramana* are scientific methods to acquire valid knowledge. According to Charakacharya, things are either existent or non-existent, & they can be investigated by four *Pramana*; viz.

- e) *Aptopadesha* (Authoritative statement)
- f) *Pratyaksha* (Direct Perception).
- g) *Anumana* (Inference)
- h) *Yukti* (Rationale – logical reasoning)⁵

Charakacharya has also mentioned that the knowledge of these *Pramana*; i.e.; *Aptopadesha*, *Pratyaksha* & *Anumana* is essential for proper knowledge of disease.⁶

AIMS & OBJECTIVES:

1. To correlate the *Charakokta Pramana* with modern research methods
2. To establish utility of *Pramana* as a research tool in *Ayurvedic* research
3. To review literature regarding *Pramana Vidnyana* & Research Methodology

CONCEPTUAL STUDY & DISCUSSIONS:

Among all *Pramana*; first of all existing knowledge of science should be acquired by *Aptopadesha* & afterwards examinations to be carried out by *Pratyaksha* & *Anumana*. *Aptopadesha* is considered as the primary one as it forms the first source of information about *Ayurveda* or any other science. As it is said; ‘Our eyes can’t see what our mind doesn’t know’, For those who already have basic information about the science, the remaining two (*Pratyaksha* & *Anumana*) are stated to be sufficient.⁷ *Aptopadesha* forms a firm basis for all theoretical knowledge in all respects of anything knowable in this universe. Thus, *Aptopadesha* becomes the first means to acquire knowledge.⁸

***Aptopadesha Pramana* (Authoritative Statement):** *Apta* means learned, the precept of learned, are free from defects of *rajas* & *tamas* is known as ‘*Aptopadesha*’ (Authoritative Statement) which is regarded as *Pramana*.⁹ Authoritative statement is the precept of the *apta* (credible persons). *Apta* are those who possess knowledge devoid of doubt, indirect & partial acquisition, attachment

& aversion.¹⁰ Thus the persons endowed with knowledge & experience, devoid of attachment & aversion are *apta*, their statement is *Pramana*. *Apta*, who are free from defects of *rajas* & *tamas*, speak always truth & so undoubtedly can be considered as the source of valid knowledge. The words or works of such persons form *Aptopadesha*. This is true even today. Text books of great personalities in their respective fields are quoted for authoritative knowledge.

One of the qualities of a researcher is that he should acquire existing knowledge & training in physical & mental skills necessary to do the activities implied in research. The existing knowledge of science can be acquired through *Aptopadesha* or authoritative statement. According to Sushrutacharya; The drugs whose mode of action is indisputable & inexplicable & which are well-known by their usage should be used by the intelligent physician on the basis of authoritative traditional scriptures. The drugs, properties & actions of which are evident & which are well-known by their nature, need not be tested for their mode of action & causation by the wise physician.¹¹

Vagbhatacharya is also of the same opinion. He says- Since information described in the text is approved by the ancient scriptures & since benefits are perceptible, these should be administered without discussions.¹² From these quotations; one should not get the impression that *Ayurvedic* medicines have no evidence-base. Ever since ancient times; *Ayurveda* has been evidence conscious. The evidence – base of contemporary

Ayurveda is conceived in several forms including:

- 1) Scriptural evidence
- 2) Empirical evidence (based on experience)
- 3) Long standing traditional use & its mass acceptance.

The search for facts may be made through either:

- a) Arbitrary (or unscientific) method
- b) Scientific method

Arbitrary method of seeking answers to questions is based on imagination, opinion, blind belief or impression.¹³ From the above quotations of Sushrutacharya & Vagbhatacharya, one may get impression that knowledge of science of *Ayurveda* is based on arbitrary method of search for truth. But this is not at all true. In contrary, *Ayurveda* is the science of medicine which adopts critical scientific approach or scientific method to acquire knowledge. Scientific method is systematic rational approach to seeking facts. It is objective, precise & arrives at conclusions on the basis of verifiable evidences.¹⁴ *Ayurveda* adopted scientific method to search for truth which is evident from elaboration of *Pramana Vidnyana* in ancient *Ayurvedic* texts.

As ancient *Ayurvedic* texts mainly follow prescriptive method to present facts, there is a large scope of exploration of these basic concepts. e. g., In *Ashtanga Hridaya*, it is mentioned that *Medo-dhatu kshaya* (decreased *medo-dhatu*) leads to spleno-megaly (increased size/inflammation of spleen).¹⁵ But cause & effect relationship is not described & thus requires further exploration of this concept.

According to Charakacharya, in the pathogenesis of *Panduroga*:

There is decrease in *Raktadhatu* & *Medodhatu*¹⁶ but specific reason for decrease in *Medodhatu* is not explained. Thus more emphasis is given to correct deficiency of *Raktadhatu* in the treatment of *Panduroga*. Very little or no attention is given to *Medo-dhatu kshaya*.

According to *Samanya – Visheshha Siddhanta*, deficiency of *Raktadhatu* should cause liking for hot items but deficiency of *Raktadhatu* causes liking for cold items instead of hot items.¹⁷ The proper explanation of this phenomenon is not given in the text.

These concepts require logical reasoning for validation. Thus study of *Ayurvedic* texts; i.e.; authoritative statements are useful in identification of research problem which require further exploration based on scientific methods. Actually, exploratory research attempts to clarify why & how there is relationship between two aspects of a situation or phenomena. Such exploratory or correlational studies can be undertaken in the field of *Ayurvedic* Research.

e. g. a) Analytical study to establish a correlation between *Viruddhahara* (incompatible food) & *kushtha* (skin disorders). One more form of research, i. e. validation of concept study can be undertaken in *Ayurveda*.

e. g. a) **Meheṣu Dhātriniśa** (*Amalaki* & *Haridra* are the drug of choice for the treatment of *Prameha*).¹⁸

b) **Plīhamaye Pippalī** (*Pippali* should be used for the diseases of spleen).¹⁹

Such concepts can be validated with the help of systematically designed

experimental studies i. e. clinical trials. One who is not fully conversant with what has gone before has little chance of making a worthwhile contribution. Therefore a researcher has to survey the available literature relating to his field of study. He must keep himself update in his field & related areas.

Therefore Sushrutacharya says, A person who has studied one branch of science only, cannot arrive at proper conclusion; therefore the physician, who has studied all related branches of science, should try to practice the medical science.²⁰

Thus *Aptopadesha* or authoritative statements help researcher to establish the theoretical roots of the study & later on to integrate the findings of researcher with the existing body of knowledge. *Vadamarga*, meaning, logical terms to be acquainted with while participating in debates. *Vadamarga* are meant to make oneself perfect in the art of debate or discussion, to improve oratory power needed to educate others by oral means or to communicate with the patient or community regarding healthcare system. A critical scientific approach of science of *Ayurveda* is evident from the concept of *Siddhanta*, which Charakacharya has mentioned as one of the 44 methods of debate.²¹ A demonstrated truth, established after several examinations & reasoning's is known as *Siddhanta*.²² As scientific method is applicable to all sciences, it consists of:

- a) Intensive observation
- b) Formulation of general hypothesis
- c) Use of hypothesis to make predictions
- d) Testing predictions by carefully controlled experiments

- e) If the experiments prove the predictions right: hypothesis to be accepted.
f) If not: hypothesis should be rejected or should be modified.

In the same manner, *Siddhanta* concept is an evidence of ancient research method which is systematic, critical & which makes use of various examinations & reasoning to establish truth or fact. *Siddhanta* is of four types:

1. *Sarvatantra Siddhanta* (common to all branches of knowledge)
2. *Pratitantra Siddhanta* (specific to a given branch of knowledge)
3. *Adhikarana Siddhanta* (truth implied in given context)
4. *Abhyupagama Siddhanta* (hypothesis or postulate)²³

Out of these, *Abhyupagama Siddhanta* can be considered as postulate or assumption taken for granted for time being. This is nothing else, but hypothesis which brings clarity, specificity & focus to a research study. Hypothesis is a proposition, condition or principle which is assumed, perhaps without belief, in order to draw out its logical consequences & by this method to test its accord with facts which are known or may be determined.²⁴

e. g. a) In an analytical study to establish a correlation between basic knowledge of Sanskrit language & progress in B. A. M. S. examination.

Hypothesis can be formulated as:

- i) There is no correlation between the basic knowledge of Sanskrit language & progress in B. A. M. S. Examination. (as null hypothesis)
- ii) The progress in B. A. M. S. examination is dependent on the basic knowledge

of Sanskrit language. (as alternative hypothesis)

b) In an observational study to assess attitude of Ayurvedic physicians towards utility of *Padartha Vidnyana* in clinical practice.

i) Knowledge of *Padartha vidnyana* is of no use in clinical practice. (As null hypothesis)

ii) Knowledge of *Padartha Vidnyana* is essential in clinical practice. (As alternative hypothesis) Research can be considered as journey from idea (which should be novel) to hypothesis (systematic formulation of an idea/ research problem) & to thesis (valid conclusions) finally. Thus, *Aptopadesha* or Authoritative statements are considered as the reliable source of existing knowledge, suggest new ideas for research & also help in the development of research methodology.

• ***Pratyaksha Pramana* (Direct Perception):**

Though *Ayurveda* accepts various types of the methods of the knowledge; the superiority of *Pratyaksha Pramana* (direct perception) remains unchallenged. A source of knowledge: After obtaining the primary knowledge to expand one's horizon, one has to take recourse of this method. Other *Pramanas* like *anumana*, *yukti*, etc. more or less depend upon *pratyaksha Pramana*. A mental faculty instantaneously manifested as a result of the proximity of soul, sense faculties, mind & the object is known as *Pratyaksha*.²⁵ The knowledge which is perceived by sense organs & mind is called as *Pratyaksha* & means of it is called as *Pratyaksha Pramana*. Here knowledge is the result while the contact of the sense

organs & the object is the means of knowledge.²⁶ Sushrutacharya gives direction towards coupling of *Aptopadesha* (Authoritative statements) with *Pratyaksha Pramana* (direct perception) for advancement of knowledge.²⁷

As Charakacharya says, Proper knowledge of disease can be gained through three *Pramanas*-

- a) *Aptopadesha Pramana* (Authoritative statement)
- b) *Pratyaksha Pramana* (Direct Perception)
- c) *Anumana Pramana* (Inference)²⁸

Out of these, by using *Pratyaksha Pramana*- Except *Rasa*, other senses i. e. *shabda*, *sparsha*, *rupa* & *gandha* can be perceived directly. Thus *pratyaksha Pramana* helps in *Rogi – Roga Pariksha*.²⁹

There are two major approaches for gathering data for any research study. Sometimes, information required is already available & need only be extracted or sometimes, information needs to be collected afresh.

Depending upon these two approaches, data are classified as:

- a) Primary data
- b) Secondary data

Primary sources of collecting data are mainly by observation, interviewing, & by questionnaire. These methods of data collection depend, more or less on *pratyaksha Pramana*, mainly by observation. Other methods of data collection are experiments, surveys & records. Out of these, experiments (in prospective studies) & to some extent surveys depend upon *pratyaksha Pramana*. Five sense faculties are the source of external perception. These faculties have their limitations

which lead to non – perceptibility by these faculties.³⁰ Process of contact depends on the distance between the senses & their objects, presence of healthy sense organs, absence of any obstruction between the senses & their objects, application of mind, absence of confusing state of similar objects, absence of over shadowing & absence of minuteness.³¹ Contrary to above factors, the direct perception is bound to fail. The limitations can now be overcome by modern scientific equipments. The scientific equipments are the outcome of research work either by serendipity or by systematic research designs.

• ***Anumana Pramana (Inference):***

The act of inferring i.e. knowledge of unknown from the known facts is called as *Anumana* or Inference.³²

Anumana or inference is the indirect knowledge based on reasoning.³³ *Anumana Pramana* is a logical conclusion based on reasoning. Inference is based on prior perception. It is of three types & is related to the three times.³⁴

a) Inference of the cause from the effect - relates to past.

This can be correlated with the case-control study design (i.e. retrospective study)

b) Inference of the effect from the cause – relates to future.

This can be correlated with cohort or prospective study design.

c) The commonly observed events at present. This can be correlated with Case-sectional study design (Time prevalence study). e. g: - As per quotation from *Charak Samhita Sutrasthana* 21/14 Hypothesis: - *Krishna* (emaciated) people

are more prone to get *Grahanigata Vyadhi*. Hence; we want to establish correlation between *karshya* (emaciation) & *Grahanigata Vyadhi*.³⁵

a) Case-control study would be - Selection of patients suffering presently from *Grahanigata Vyadhi* & to ask them about history of emaciation (*karshya*) i. e. check whether emaciation has caused *Grahanigata Vyadhi* or not.

b) Cohort Study would be - Selection of *Krishha* (emaciated/lean) people & observe them over a period of time how many of them will develop *Grahanigata vyadhi* in future.

c) Cross-sectional Study would be - To know prevalence rate of *grahanigata vyadhi* in *krisha* (emaciated) persons.

Thus *Anumana* or Inference helps in establishing correlation between two factors or to establish cause-effect relationship between two variables. *Anumana* (Inference) is used extensively as means to acquire knowledge. Various causes of non-perceptibility can create hurdles in direct perception. In this field, *Anumana* helps in acquiring knowledge. *Anumana Pramana* plays a vital role in interpretation of results. Interpretation refers to the task of drawing inferences from the collected facts after an analytical or experimental study. It is search for broader meaning of research findings. Generalization of results is based on inference only.

Anumana Pramana is of two types –

a) In one type it is for one's own self i.e. *Swarthanumana* &

b) In another condition; it is used to provide knowledge to others i.e. *Pararthanumana*

Swarthanumana (Inference for one self) is the cause of deduction of logical reference in one's own mind.³⁶ For this; one should have inquisitiveness or curiosity. As it is said; inquisitiveness is the mother of all knowledge. A person with curious & questioning attitude will search for truth in a scientific manner & by applying logical process of reasoning he/she will reach to valid conclusion.

Pararthanumana (Demonstrative inference) – A person after getting inferential knowledge; it should be demonstrated to others by *Panchavayavi vakya* (5 components of reasoning), it is known as *Pararthanumana*.

Panchavayavi Vakya contains:

- a) *Pratidnya* (Proposition)
- b) *Hetu* (Reason or Cause)
- c) *Udaharana* (Example)
- d) *Upanaya* (Justification)
- e) *Nigamana* (Conclusion)³⁷

Thus *Pararthanumana* is an important means through which the knowledge recognized by one person is distributed to others. This can be correlated with report writing according to process of research. The purpose of research is not well versed unless the findings are made known to others. Research results must invariably enter the general store of knowledge.

***Yukti Pramana* (Logical Reasoning):**

The knowledge which sees the things produced by combination of multiple causative factors is *yukti* (rationale or logical reasoning). It is true in the three times & is also useful in achieving the three objects (*dharma, artha & kama*).³⁸ Rational & fruitful combination of several factors is *yukti*. Recognition of *yukti* as a means of valid

knowledge is the peculiarity of *Charak Samhita*. *Yukti* helps in determining one effect in relation to the various causative factors responsible there of *Yukti* is the rational planning of therapeutic measures.³⁹ Dosage & time of administration of drug requires proper planning & success depends upon proper planning. So; the physician who knows *yukti* (logical reasoning) is superior to one who knows only drugs.⁴⁰ Success shows the proper application of all the measures & success, in turn, indicates the physician endowed with all the qualities. Here 'proper application' is *yukti* itself.⁴¹ *Yukti* can be considered as logical reasoning to establish a cause & effect relationship. In fact, *yukti* is rationally based on cause-effect relationship. In inference too; there is cause-effect relationship but in that case single cause produces effect & not multiple ones as in case of *yukti*.

Ayurveda is an applied science in which the patient & the disease are to be thoroughly examined & treated. This requires the physician to have some prior theoretical knowledge of the subject on the basis of which the diseases etc. are examined by the method of direct perception. Some of the factors which are not cognizable by sense organs may create some doubts on some occasions where trial & error method may have to be resorted to, in order to have clarity of perception. As *Ayurveda* is a medical science, it demands that everything should be rational & pre-tested. *Yukti* helps for rational inference based on direct observation of experiment or test. Thus; in the context of *Pramana*, *yukti* may be considered as follows: As per the criteria of

good research, good research is logical – this implies that research is guided by the rules of logical reasoning & the logical process of induction & deduction are of great value in carrying out research. Scientific method which is adopted to search for facts is also utilization of *Yukti*. The scientific method is a systematic step by step procedure following the logical process of reasoning.

CONCLUSION:

- Research can be considered as journey from idea (which should be novel) to hypothesis (systematic formulation of an idea/ research problem) & to thesis (valid conclusions) finally.
- To gain clear & entire knowledge of science of *Ayurveda*, it was necessary to search for scientific devices or methods of approach. This necessity has prompted Charakacharya to adopt *Pramanas*. In *Ayurveda*, *Pramanas* are called as *Pariksha*, meaning scientific investigation. *Pramana vidnyana* can be considered as scientific tools of research.
- *Aptopadesha*, *Pratyaksha*, *Anumana* & *Yukti* *Pramana* are very much useful at all steps of research such as planning, operation & reporting.
- *Pramana vidnyana* proves that *Ayurveda* accepts the scientific method in search of truth (based on logical reasoning) & not the arbitrary or unscientific method.
- Thus, these *Pramanas* can be considered as ancient methods of research which are essential in development of research methodology of ancient medical science of India, i. e. *Ayurveda*.

REFERENCES:

1. Nyayadarshana by Udayanacharya
2. RH Singh, Exploring larger evidence-base for contemporary Ayurveda, JAIM 2010; 1:65-66
3. Nyayadarshana by Gautamacharya with commentary by Vatsyayana, edited by Nyayacharya Shri Padmaprasada Shastri, Choukhambha Sanskrit Bhavan, 6th edition 2006, page no. 24
4. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11, verse no.17, page no.70, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
5. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11, verse no.17, page no.70, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
6. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4, verse no.3, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
7. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4, verse no.5, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
8. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 9, verse no.24, page no.64 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
9. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11, verse no.18-19, page no.70 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
10. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4, verse no.4, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
11. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shri Dakhanacharya & Nyayachandrika Panjika of Shri Gayadasacharya edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya & Narayana Ram Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 41, verse no.19-20, page no.180-181, Choukhambha Krishanadas Academy Varanasi Reprinted 2004
12. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhatacharya with Sarvanga sundara commentary of Arunadatta & Ayurvedarasayana commentary of Hemadri, edited by Hari Sadashiv Paradkar, Uttar sthana chapter 40, verse no.81, page no.955,

- Choukhambha Surabharati publication, Varanasi Reprinted 2010
13. C. R. Kothari, Research methodology methods & Techniques, New Age International Publishers, Second revised edition, 2009, page no. 2
14. C. R.Kothari., Research methodology methods & Techniques, New Age International Publishers, Second revised edition, 2009, page no. 13
15. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhatacharya with Sarvanga sundara commentary of Arunadatta & Ayurvedarasayana commentary of Hemadri, edited by Hari Sadashiv Paradkar, Sutra sthana chapter 11,verse no.18, page no.185, Choukhambha Surabharati publication, Varanasi Reprinted 2010
16. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 16,verse no.6,page no.526 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
17. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhatacharya with Sarvanga sundara commentary of Arunadatta & Ayurvedarasayana commentary of Hemadri, edited by Hari Sadashiv Paradkar, Sutra sthana chapter 11,verse no.18, page no.185, Choukhambha Surabharati publication, Varanasi Reprinted 2010
18. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhatacharya with Sarvanga sundara commentary of Arunadatta & Ayurvedarasayana commentary of Hemadri, edited by Hari Sadashiv Paradkar, Uttar stana chapter 40,verse no.48, page no.943, Choukhambha Surabharati publication, Varanasi Reprinted 2010
19. Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhatacharya with Sarvanga sundara commentary of Arunadatta & Ayurvedarasayana commentary of Hemadri, edited by Hari Sadashiv Paradkar, Uttar stana chapter 40,verse no.48, page no.943, Choukhambha Surabharati publication, Varanasi Reprinted 2010
20. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shri Dakhanacharya & Nyayachandrika Panjika of Shri Gayadasacharya edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya & Narayana Ram Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 4,verse no.7,page no.18, Choukhambha Krishanadas Academy Varanasi Reprinted 2004
21. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 8,verse no.27, page no.266 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
22. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 8,verse no.37, page no.268 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
23. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 8,verse no.37, page no.268 Choukhambha

- Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
24. C. R. Kothari., Research methodology methods & Techniques, New Age International Publishers, Second revised edition, 2009, pg. 2
25. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11,verse no.20,page no.71, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
26. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4,verse no.4, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
27. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shri Dakhanacharya & Nyayachandrika Panjika of Shri Gayadasacharya edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya & Narayana Ram Acharya, Sharir sthana chapter 5,verse no.48,page no.369, Choukhambha Krishanadas Academy Varanasi Reprinted 2004
28. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4,verse no.3, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
29. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4,verse no.7, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
30. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11,verse no.7,page no.69, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
31. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta,edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11,verse no.8, page no.69, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
32. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha Commentary of Shri Dakhanacharya & Nyayachandrika Panjika of Shri Gayadasacharya edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya & Narayana Ram Acharya, Sharir sthana chapter 1,verse no.16, page no.4, Choukhambha Krishanadas Academy Varanasi Reprinted 2004
33. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 4,verse no.4, page no.247 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
34. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with

- Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11, verse no.21-22, page no.71, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
35. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 21, verse no.14, page no.117, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
36. Tarka Samgraha by Annam Bhatta, verse no. 39
37. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Vimana sthana chapter 8, verse no.31, page no.267, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
38. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 11, verse no.25, page no.72, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
39. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 26, verse no.31, page no.141, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
40. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 2, verse no.16, page no.25, Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005
41. Charaka Samhita of Agnivesha elaborated by Charaka & Drudhabala with Ayurveda Dipika Commentary by chakrapanidatta, edited by Vaidya Jadavaji Trikamji Acharya, Sutra sthana chapter 1, verse no.135, page no.23 Choukhambha Surbharati Prakashan Varanasi Reprinted 2005

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

VD. VINAY A. PAWAR

M. D. (Ayurved Samhita), M. A. Sanskrit,
M. Phil.Sanskrit (Scholar) Assistant
Professor,

Dept. of Sanskrit Samhita & Siddhant,
Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Ayurved
& Research Institute, Navi Mumbai

E-mail: vinay10882@yahoo.com
