IAMJ

Research Article

International Ayurvedic Medical Journal

ISSN:2320 5091

A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON *KATAK KHADIRADI KASHYAYAM* AND *NIRURYADI GULIKA* IN THE MANAGEMENT OF *MADHUMEHA* W.S.R. TO HYPERGLYCEMIA

Kolhe N. S. Prof. Joshi Ramkishor

Department of Kayachikitsa, National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted on 40 clinically diagnosed patients of hyperglycemia with an objective of clinical evaluation of the efficacy of *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* and *Niruryadi Gulika* in the management of *Madhumeha* (Hyperglycemia). These patients were randomly divided into three groups of 10 patients each. Out of that 30 patients has been completed clinical trial and 10 patients was drop out.

The study confirms that *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* and *Niruryadi Gulika* is effective in management of *Madhumeha* and definitely reduces the symptoms of illness that includes *Prabhuta mutrata* (Polyuria), *Klama* (early fatigue), *Alasya* (Lassitude), *Vibandh* (Constipation) (In Group 3) including *Ati sweda* (Sweating), *Mukha shosha* (Dryness of mouth) (In Group 1).

The chosen drug is effective (laboratory parameters) in reducing Post Prandial Blood Sugar and, Post Prandial Urine Sugar (In group 2 and 3) (highly significant in group 3 and significant in group 2), only PPBS in Group 1 (significant result). No adverse effects were noted in any of the patients during the trial period.

Keywords: Mudhumeha, Hyperglycemia, Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam, Niruryadi Gulika

INTRODUCTION

All those patients who pass urine which is sweet & resembles like honey and the body also becomes sweet are said to be suffering from Madhumeha. In Brihatrayi detailed description of aetiological factors of Prameha are available and etiology described there is very near to current aetiological factors for DM (Diabetes mellitus). According to the International Diabetes Federation, the number of diabetic patients in India more than doubled from 19 million in 1995 to 40.9 million in 2007. It is projected to increase to 69.9 million by 2025.Currently; up to 11% of India's urban population and 3% of rural population above the age of 15 have diabetes. Calling India the diabetes capital of the world, the international journal of diabetes in developing countries says that there is alarming rise in prevalence of diabetes, which has gone beyond epidemic form to a pandemic one.

According to pathogenesis and clinical manifestation, Diabetes mellitus can be easily correlated with *madhumeha*. *Madumeha* is being described under the subtype of *vataja prameha*. It has been broadly elaborated in main ancient *Ayurveda* texts like *Brihatraye* and *Laghutraye*. Considering the seriousness of the condition and its prognosis, it is being too referred to *Mahagada* or *Maharoga*.

A Diabetes Mellitus, the most common endocrine disorder and a clinical syndrome characterized by hyperglycaemia due to relative or absolute deficiency of insulin resulting in long standing metabolic derangements associated with pathophysiological changes in multiple organ system of eyes, kidneys, nerves and vascular system being characteristically susceptible.

The W.H.O. estimates that mortality from diabetes and heart disease cost India about \$120 billion every year and is expected to increase to \$335 billion in the next ten years. These estimates are based on lost productivity, resulting primarily from premature death.

Diabetes mellitus is a growing health hazard in developing countries. As а psychosomatic disease and due to most dangerous complications, diabetes mellitus has grabbed the attention of health community all over the world. Globally, diabetes affects 246 million people, which is about 6% in the total adult population .It is the 4th leading cause of death by disease and every 10 sec a person dies from diabetes related causes in the world. The Top ten countries, in numbers of sufferers, are India, China, USA, Russian Federation, Brazil, Germany, Pakistan, Japan, Indonesia and Mexico.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The present research trial has been undertaken with the following main objectives-

• Conceptual and clinical studies on *Mudhumeha* W.S.R. to Hyperglycemia and its management with time tested *Ayurvedic* principles.

• To evaluate Antihyperglycemic effects of the *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* (*Sahasra Yogam*, CCRAS publication, *pratham prakaran* – *kashyaya yog* 71, page no.16) and *Niruryadi Gulika* (*Sahasra Yogam*, CCRAS publication, *duvitiya prakaran* – *gutika yoga* 69, page no.142) in a series of patients suffering from *Madhumeha* on various scientific parameters.

• To compare the efficacy of Antihyperglycemic effects of the *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* and *Niruryadi Gulika* MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Selection of cases

The study recruited a population of 40 clinically diagnosed patients of *Madhumeha* (hyperglycemic) selected from O.P.D. / I.P.D. unit of P.G. Department of *Kayachikitsa*, National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur and *Seth*

Surajmal Bombewala Hospital, *Kishanpole Bazar*, Jaipur . Out of which 30 patients has been completed clinical trial and 10 patients was drop out during the trial period. A regular record of the assessment of all patients was maintained according to proforma prepared for the purpose. Following inclusion and exclusion criteria's were used for registration of the patients for present clinical trial.

- (a) Inclusion criteria
- Patient with clinical history of DM.
- Patient having hyperglycaemia confirmed by laboratory investigation.
- Presence of Cardinal symptoms of *Madhumeha* as described in *Ayurveda* texts.
- (b) Exclusion criteria
- Patient having Type 1 DM.
- Age below 20 and above 70 years.
- Patient of Type II DM who were on insulin therapy.
- Complication with DM.
- Patient having any serious illness.
- Patient having a FBS >250 AND PPBS >300.
- 2. Selection of drugs

symptoms Taking the and the Samprapti of Madhumeha into consideration, *"Katak* a Khadiradi Kashyayam and Niruryadi Gulika" has been selected. The drug selected for the study a Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam were mainly having Tikta, Katu, Kashaya rasa, Katu Vipaka, Laghu, Ruksha & Tikshna Guna pradhana aoshdhi. All the selected drugs were having Mutrasagrahaniya, Jatharagni vardhak, vayasthapana, chakshushya, rasayan, vrishya, grahi, lekhana, deepana, oja vardhana and pachana.

Kolhe N. S. & Joshi Ramkishor: A Comparative Study On Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam & Niruryadi Gulika in the Management of Madhumeha

I able 1: Showing the Contents of Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam									
S. No.	Drugs	Botanical study	Part use	Quantity (g)					
1	Katak	Strychnous potatorum	Seed	1.852					
2	Khadir	Acacia catechu	Heart wood	1.852					
3	Amalaki	Embelica officinalis	Fruit rind	1.852					
4	Saptachakra	Salacia chinensis	Root	1.852					
5	Daruharidra	Berberis aristata	Bark	1.852					
6	Samanga (Lajjalu)	Mimosa pudica	Whole plants	1.852					
7	Vidula (Chotapashanbheda)	Homonoia riparia	Root	1.852					
8	Haridra	Curcuma longa	Rhizome	1.852					
9	Patha	Cissampalo-us pareira	Rhizome	1.852					
10	Amra	Mangifera indica	Seed	1.852					
11	Haritaki	Terminalia chebula	Fruit rind	1.852					
12	Abda (Nagarmotha)	Cyperus rotundus	Rhizome	1.852					

Method of preparation of Kashyayam:

Decoction (*Kv tha* or *Kas ya*) is the filtered liquid obtained by boiling coarse powder of drug(s) in proportion of 4, 8 or 16 [*Mrudu Dravya* - 4, *Madhyama Dravya* - 8 and *Kathina Dravya* - 16 respectively] times of water and reduced to one-fourth.

Therefore from above Contents of *Katak Khadiradi Kashaya* drugs is in *madhyama* form. For the preparation of decoction from no.1 to no.12 of drugs were taken in equal quantity and checked out for their identity, quantity and quality. The individual drugs were mixed in equal quantity and made into *bharad* form. After

that two teaspoon (10 gm) of *Yavakuta Churna* (coarse powder) *is* taken for preparation of decoction in the 80 ml of water, a proper (mild) heat is given and when it reduces up to 20 ml filter through the muslin cloth, now decoction is ready to drink before meal (bid).The medicine *Yavakuta Churna* (coarse powder) was prepared in the pharmacy of N.I.A., Jaipur. Method of administration: Orally in the form of decoction in a dosage of 20 ml twice in a day before meal.

Duration of the trial: The clinical trial was continued for 30 days with each patient with a 15 days review.

S.No.	Drugs	Botanical Name	Part use	Quantity (g)
1.	Niruri	Phylanthus reticulate	Root	0.03
2.	Saptachakra	Salacia chinensis	Root	0.03
3.	Nirmali	Strychnous potatoum	Fruit	0.03
4.	Samudraphen	-	Cuttle fish bone	0.03
5.	Emali	Tamarandus indica	Bark of Seed	0.03
6.	Haritaki	Terminalia chebula	Fruit rind	0.03
7.	Vibhitak	Terminalia belerica	Fruit rind	0.03
8.	Amalaki	Emblica officinallis	Fruit rind	0.03
9.	Kapittha	Limonia accedecima	Niryasa(Resin)	0.03
10.	Kumud	Nymphea alba	Seed	0.03
11.	Ayaskant	Magnetic iron	Bhasma	0.03
12.	Gairic	Ochre	Bhasma	0.03
13.	Haridra	Curcuma longa	Rhizome	0.03
14.	Daruharidra	Berberis aristata	Root	0.03
15.	Chandan	Santalum album	Heart wood	0.03
16.	Sharkara	Sitopala	Powder	0.03
17.	Udumbar	Ficus Glomerata	Bark	0.03

Table 2: Showing the contents of Niruryadi Gulika

Niruryadi gulika was purchased from pharmacy of Arya vaidya sala, Kotakkal.

Dose and *Anupana:* Dose of *Niruryadi gulika* was 2 tablets (each of 500 mg) in the afternoon before lunch and at night before the dinner with Luke warm water for 30 days.

3. Pre Treatment Observations

All the patients have been studied along with the registration by noting down their demographic profile including their age, sex, address, occupation, education, socio economic status, marital status, life style, addictions, dietary habits etc. After preliminary registration, patients were subjected to detailed case history taking, physical, general and systemic examinations. In history and examination importance was given to symptoms of Madhumeha. During this all other relevant information's like Ashtavidha Pariksha and Dashvidha pariksha including assessment of Sharirika Prakriti and Manasika Prakriti (based on the features described in classical texts) etc. were noted.

4. Administration of Drug & Treatment Schedule

40 registered, clinically diagnosed and confirmed patients of *Madhumeha* (Hyperglycemic) were selected for the present clinical trial and randomly divided into following three groups out of that 30 patients has been completed clinical trial and 10 patients was drop out during the trial period.

GROUP- I: 10 patients of *Madhumeha* (Hyperglycemic) were administered *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* in a dose of 20 ml twice daily for a period of 30 days before meal.

GROUP- II: 10 patients of *Madhumeha* (Hyperglycemic) were administered *Niruryadi Gulika* in a dose of 2 tablet (each of 500 mg) with lukewarm water twice daily for a period of 30 days before meal.

GROUP- III: 10 patients of *Madhumeha* (Hyperglycemic) were administered *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* in a dose of 20 ml twice daily for a period of 30 days before meal and *Niruryadi Gulika* in a dose of 2 tablet (each of 500 mg) with lukewarm water twice daily for a period of 30 days before meal. All the patients were advised to undergo following laboratory investigations before starting the trial to rule out a hyperglycemia and other illness; if present then exclude them from the trial.

a) Blood Examinations

- (i) F.B.S. (Fasting Blood Sugar)
- (ii) P.P.B.S. (Post Prandial Blood Sugar)

(iii) C.B.C. and E.S.R.

b) Urine Examination

(i) Routine Examination

(ii) Microscopic examination.

(iii) F.U.S. (Fasting Urine Sugar)

(iv) P.P.U.S. (Post Prandial Urine Sugar).

Patients were followed up after 15 days and changes, improvements, deterioration and any other effects produced after the therapy were noted down.

5. Criteria for Assessment

After the completion of the treatment, the results were assessed by adopting the following criteria.

Improvement in signs and symptoms of disease on the basis of symptoms score.

➢ Improvement in laboratory Investigation (i.e. reduce levels) on the basis of lab reports.

➢ Reduction in Objective assessment parameters.

For clinical evaluation the criteria can be divided in to two types:

1. Subjective Assessment

Kolhe N. S. & Joshi Ramkishor: A Comparative Study On Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam & Niruryadi Gulika in the Management of Madhumeha

2. Objective Assessment	Starts but does not complete -2
1. Subjective assessment	Start work under compulsion - 3
All symptoms taken for the	E. Mukha Shosha (Dryness in mouth)
assessment of clinical improvements were	Absent - 0
thoroughly examined and the severity of	Mild - 1
each symptom was rated before and after the	Moderate - 2
trial for clinical assessment. For this purpose	Severe - 3
the following "Symptom Rating Scale"	F. Vibhanda (Constipation)
developed by Prof. K. Govardhan et.al was	Pass stool as per normal schedule - 0
used.	Passes stool with strain, sometimes takes
A. Prabhoot Mootrata (Polyuria)	purgative - 1
Frequency of Urine	Pass stool usually after 24 hrs, frequently
3-6 times/day, rarely at night - 0	takes purgative - 2
7-9 times /day, 0-2 times/night - 1	Pass stool/ per 2day - 3
10-12 times /day, 2-4 times/night - 2	Purgative doesn't work - 4
> 13 times /day, >4 times/night - 3	2. Objective assessment
B. Swedadhikya (Excessive Sweating)	(a) Assessment of Body Mass Index
Normal Perspiration - 0	(B.M.I). (Weight in kg/height in meter ²)
Mild after doing exertion - 1	18.5-24.9 - 0
Moderate after exertion - 2	25 – 29.9 -1
Severe after exertion - 3	30 -34.9 - 2
Perspiration without exertion - 4	35 -39.9 - 3
C. <i>Klama</i> (Early fatigue)	>40 - 4
No fatigue - 0	OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS
Mild after doing work - 1	Subjective improvement
Moderate after doing work - 2	After the completion of therapeutic
Severe after doing work - 3	trial there was marked improvement in the
Feeling fatigue without doing work - 4	Prabhuta mutrata (Polyuria), Klama (early
D. Aalasya (Lassitude)	fatigue), Alasya (Lassitude), Vibandh
Normally active - 0	(Constipation) (In Group 3) including Ati
Hesitate to start work but once started	sweda (Sweating), Mukha shosha (Dryness
completed - 1	of mouth) (In Group 1).
Clinical Improvement	

S.	Sumatoma	Group I				Group I	I	Group III			
No	Symptoms	%	Р	Result	%	р	Result	%	р	Result	
1.	Prabhotamutrata	33.33	< 0.05	S.	50	> 0.05	N.S.	33.3	< 0.05	S.	
2.	Avilmutrata	21.1	> 0.05	N.S.	21.1	> 0.05	N.S.	44.4	> 0.05	N.S.	
3.	Pipasadhikya	22.2	> 0.05	N.S.	22.2	> 0.05	N.S.	41.7	> 0.05	N.S.	
4.	Kshudhadhikya	27.3	> 0.05	N.S.	0	> 0.05	N.S.	16.7	> 0.05	N.S.	
5.	Ati sweda	42.9	< 0.01	S.	33.3	> 0.05	N.S.	20	> 0.05	N.S.	
6.	Hastapada & Sandhi shoola	37.5	> 0.05	N.S.	30	>0.05	N.S.	23.1	> 0.05	N.S.	
7.	Klama	25	> 0.05	N.S.	46.2	> 0.05	N.S.	38.9	< 0.05	S.	
8.	Mukha shosha	38.5	< 0.01	S.	44.4	> 0.05	N.S.	0	> 0.05	N.S.	

Table 3: Showing the overall comparative improvement in clinical feature of Madhumeha in three treated groups

IAMJ: Volume 2; Issue 1; Jan – Feb 2014

Kolhe N. S. & Joshi Ramkishor: A Comparative Study On Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam & Niruryadi Gulika in the Management of Madhumeha

9.	Alasya	40	< 0.05	S.	44.4	> 0.05	N.S.	53.3	< 0.05	S.
10.	Vibandh	50	< 0.05	S.	28.6	> 0.05	N.S.	87.5	< 0.05	S.
11.	Karapada tala daha	11.1	> 0.05	N.S.	16.7	> 0.05	N.S.	28.6	> 0.05	N.S.
12.	Mukhamadhurya	40	> 0.05	N.S.	50	> 0.05	N.S.	40	> 0.05	N.S.
13.	Jananang Kandu	-	-	-	0	> 0.05	N.S.	100	> 0.05	N.S.
14.	Kara pada tala supti	-	-	-	42.9	> 0.05	N.S.	0	> 0.05	N.S.

Objective parameters

• Study on changes in blood sugar have revealed that there was significant reduction (Group I and II) and highly significant (in Group III) in the level of post Prandial blood sugar in the all the patients of three groups but the percentage of reduction was maximum in patients of Group-III, where *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam* was administered with *Niruryadi Gulika*, also significant reduction in fasting blood sugar in patient of Group-III. (Table No. IV)

• Significant reduction in the level of post prandial urine sugar in Group-II and highly significant reduction was observed in patients of Group-III. (Table No. IV)

Table 4: Showing the overall comparative improvement in lab parameters of Madhumeha in three treated groups

Lab Investigation	Group I				Group II		Group III		
		Р	Result		Р	Result	%	Р	Result
Fasting Blood Sugar	6.85	> 0.05	N.S.	13.72	> 0.05	N.S.	18.51	< 0.05	S.
Post Prandial Blood Sugar	16.97	< 0.05	S.	13.86	< 0.05	S.	18.65	< 0.001	H.S.
Fasting Urine Sugar	20	> 0.05	N.S.	12.5	> 0.05	N.S.	66.7	> 0.05	N.S.
Post Prandial Urine Sugar	17.9	> 0.05	N.S.	42.1	< 0.02	S.	88.9	< 0.001	H.S.
HB g%	3.27	> 0.05	N.S.	1.5	> 0.05	N.S.	0.23	> 0.05	N.S.
ESR	20.4	> 0.05	N.S.	40	> 0.05	N.S.	10.5	> 0.05	N.S.
TLC	7.29	> 0.05	N.S.	6	> 0.05	N.S.	9.06	> 0.05	N.S.
	Lab Investigation Fasting Blood Sugar Post Prandial Blood Sugar Fasting Urine Sugar Post Prandial Urine Sugar HB g% ESR TLC	Lab Investigation%Fasting Blood Sugar6.85Post Prandial Blood Sugar16.97Fasting Urine Sugar20Post Prandial Urine Sugar17.9HB g%3.27ESR20.4TLC7.29	$\begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline Lab Investigation & \hline & $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline Lab Investigation & \hline & $	Lab Investigation Group 1 Result % Fasting Blood Sugar 6.85 >0.05 N.S. 13.72 Post Prandial Blood Sugar 16.97 <0.05	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline $Hightarrow $$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \end{tabular} & \hline tabula$

Table 5: Showing the overall comparative physiological improvement in three treated groups

S.	Dhysicle sizel memory store	Group I			Group II			Group III		
No.	Physiological parameters	%	р	Result	%	Р	Result	%	р	Result
1.	Body Wt. (Kg)	0.5	> 0.05	N.S.	0	> 0.05	N.S.	0.5	> 0.05	N.S.
2.	BMI (Body mass index)	0.63	> 0.05	N.S.	0.03	> 0.05	N.S.	0.4	> 0.05	N.S.
3.	Systolic blood pressure (in mm Hg)	0.48	> 0.05	N.S.	0.76	> 0.05	N.S.	5	> 0.05	N.S.
4.	Diastolic Blood Pressure (in mm Hg)	1.3	> 0.05	N.S.	0.5	> 0.05	N.S.	0	> 0.05	N.S.

HS= Highly Significant, S= Significant, NS= Not Significant Probable mode of action of *Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam*

Jatharagni mandya is present in Madhumeha and Katu, Tikta rasa present in kashayam it may act in vardhana of agni. Kashaya rasa is present up to 83.33%, which may produce Mutrasamgrahniya prabhava. Tikta, Kashaya rasa present in this formulation produces Shoshana effect. Hence the Prabhoota mutrata in Prameha tend to regress.

When predominant *Guna* is present in research drug are assessed it becomes evident that most of the drugs possess *Laghu ,Ruksha Guna* (i.e. 100% and75%). *Ruksha guna* helps in alleviation of *Bahudrava*

shleshma and Abaddha meda. the annexation of two being initial triggering event in samprapti of disease. Obstruction of Vata by Kapha and medas as Kapha here aarambhak dosha and Vata is preraka dosha. Laghu and Ruksha guna by virtue of their kaphaghana and medoghana prabhava help in reducing tissue weight. Now it can be suspected that kashaya rasa, Laghu, *Ruksha guna* like properties can further vitiated dosha aggravate Vata in Madhumeha. In this context it is proposed that here it is obstructed *Vata* (primarily by *Kapha & Medas*) which is causing trouble; Vata here may not be increased quantity wise in body, only obstruction is there in

Kolhe N. S. L Joshi Ramkishor: A Comparative Study On Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam L Niruryadi Gulika in the Management of Madhumeha

its natural passages which can be alleviated by *Kaphahara, medohara* drugs.

In the compound majority of drugs are found to have *Ushna Virya*. In 1979 at BHU, Varanasi has proved that substance having *Ushna Virya* is accountable for breakdown of fat at mitochondrial level. *Meda* is invariably involved in pathogenesis of disease. According to *Ayurveda* principles *Ushna virya* helps in alleviation of *Kapha* and Vata.

As far as Vipaka is concerned katu vipaka enhances jatharagni, dhatvagni and normalize metabolic process. Sheeta virya and Madhura vipaka helps in replenishment of Ojus which become depleted with disease progression owing to continued exposure of body to vitiated Vata. The drugs in compound formulation also possess vayasthapana, chakshushva, rasayan, vrishya, grahi, lekhana, deepana and pachana properties.

It has been clear from above account that Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam can well disintegrated *Samprapti* of *Madhumeha* by acting at various levels i.e. alleviating *dhatvagnimandya* owing to presence of certain *deepana pachana* drugs in it like *Bruhati, Mustak and Haridra* also *rukshata* and *laghuta* present in drug will combat increased *Kapha* and *meda* which similitude in their properties. *Aamalki* and *Haritaki* are two drugs, which are known to exert *rasayan prabhava* too thereby causing *oja vardhana*, which is being depleted in body of *Madhumehi* owing to chronic exposure to *Vata* in body.

Probable mode of action of *Niruryadi Gulika*

In Niruryadi Gulika the maximum drug having a Kashaya, Tikta and Madhur

Ras; Laghu, Rukshya and Guru guna; Sheeta Virya ; Madhur and Katu Vipaka. According to Charak

Kinchidrasena kurute karma viryane chaparam / Dravyam gunen paken prabhaven cha

kinchan // Ch.Su. 26/71 According to above quotation, drug acts in the body in various ways. The Samprapti of Madhumeha is described earlier, for breakdown of that Samprapti the action of above ras, guna, virya and vipak are described as follows.

In the Niruryadi Gulika the Kashaya ras (64.70%) posses properties like а Sangrahi, Sthambhan. Sharirkledasyopayokta due to that a polyuria is one of the main symptoms that can be due manage, and to the Sharirkledasyopayokta properties а abhaddha meda and kleda that can get soaked.

Tikta Ras (58.82%) having а properties like Srotomukhavishodhan, Ama pachaka, Murcha, Daha, Kandu, Kushatha, Trushna prashamana, Dipan, Pachana, Lekana, Sharira Kleda Soshana, Meda Soshana, Lasika Soshana, Swada Soshana, Mutra Soshana. From these properties it is very clear that in the complication of Madhumeha like Murcha, Daha, Kandu and *Kushatha* it plays a role. A polydypsia is one of the prime symptoms that can be subsiding by this ras (Trushna prashamana). The above described Kleda, Meda, etc. soshana properties of this ras helps for breakdown of Dosha – Dushaya Samurchana.

Madhura Ras having a properties like Bala-Varnakar, Marutagna, Trushana, Daha Prashaman, Prinan, Jivan, Santarpana, Brumhana, Sthryakara, Murcha Prashamana. According to these properties it shows that it provide a strength to the *Madhumehi* patients because all *dhatu ksahya* is found in *Madhumeha* (*Ojomeha*) and also helps to nourished all *dhatu*(*Saptadhatu poshak*).

Aamalki and *Haritaki* are two drugs, which are known to exert *rasayan prabhava* too thereby causing *oja vardhana*.

According to *Guna, laghu* (70.58%) is *lekhana* therefore it work on *aavabadhya meda, kleda*, and *mamsa* ; *rukshya*(52.94%) is *soshana* and *stambhana* properties it may be work on the polyuria. A *Katu Vipaka* also doing the same work like a *mutra baddha*. From above discussion it is hypotheses that, this Gulika work on the Prameha and *Madhumeha* like condition.

CONCLUSION

From present study following observations can be concluded: The disease Madhumeha is well documented in all perennial sources of Ayurvedic wisdom. Madhumeha has been discussed in Prameha roga as one of the Vataj Prameha. Literary evidence proves its modern correlate as Diabetes Mellitus. In this study it is found that Madhumeha mostly affects individuals in 5th, 6th and 7thdecade of life with slight male preponderance. Prevalence is seen more in married. As every sort of Prameha (20 types) bear every possibility to terminate ultimately into Madhumeha if left untreated general aetiopathological so factors, purvapupa etc. can well be appreciated for Madhumeha too. The study confirms that Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam And Niruryadi Gulika is effective in management of Madhumeha and definitely reduces the symptoms of illness that includes Prabhuta mutrata(Polyuria), Klama (early fatigue), Alasya (Lassitude), Vibandh (Constipation) (In Group 3), including Ati sweda

(Sweating), Mukha shosha (Dryness of mouth) (In Group 1). The chosen drug was effective in reducing Post Prandial Blood Sugar and Post Prandial Urine Sugar (In group 2 and 3) (highly significant in group 3 and significant in group 2) and also shows a significant result in Group 1 P.P.B.S. All the patients tolerated medicines very well and no side effects were reported by any of the patients, suggesting that the drugs selected for current clinical trial are absolutely safe for internal use. After overall scrutiny, it can be concluded that the proposed Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam and Niruryadi Gulika in current research exhibits significant hypoglycaemic activity and can be given safely in patients of Madhumeha.

REFERENCES

1. *Charaka Samhita*, *Vidhyotini Hindi Tika*, Published by *Chaukhamba Bharati* Academy, 2001, *Sutrasthana*, Chapter 17 and Chapter 26.

2. Sharma Dr. Ramnivas and Sharma Dr. Surendra. Sahasra Yogam, Published by Chaukhamba Sanskrit pratisthan, Delhi, Reprint edition 2007 and Sahasra Yogam, CCRAS publication.

3. *Charaka Samhita*, *Vidhyotini Hindi Tika*, Published by *Chaukhamba Bharati* Academy, 2001, *Nidansthana*, Chapter 1.

4. Charaka Samhita, Vidhyotini Hindi Tika,
Published by Chaukhamba Bharati
Academy, 2001, Chikitsasthana, Chapter 6.
5. Harrison's Principles of Internal
Medicines, Vol I, 16th Edition, Edited by
Eugene Braundwald, Anathony S. Fanci,
Stephen L. Hauser, Dennis L. Kasper, Dan

L. Longo, J. Larry Jameson, Published by Mc Graw Hill. Kolhe N. S. L Joshi Ramkishor: A Comparative Study On Katak Khadiradi Kashyayam L Niruryadi Gulika in the Management of Madhumeha

6. Tripathi K. D., Essentials of Medical Pharmacology, 6th Edition, Jaypee publications.

7. Sharma Prof. P.V.; *Dravya Guna Vijnana*, Vol. I, Published by *Chaukhamba Bharti* Academy, Varanasi;1993.

8. Sharma Prof. P.V.; *Dravya Guna Vijnana*, Vol. II, Published by *Chaukhamba Bharti* Academy, Varanasi;1993.

9. Tripathi Dr. Bramhananda, Astanga Hridayam, Nirmala Hindi Vyakhya, Published by Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratisthan; Nidansthana, Chapter 10.

10. Tripathi Dr. Bramhananda, Astanga Nirmala Hridayam, Hindi Vyakhya, Published by Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratisthan; Chikitsasthana, Chapter 12. 11. Sharma Prof. A. K.; Kaya Chikitsa, Vol. II, Chaukhamba Orientala, Delhi, 2006. **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR** Prof. Joshi Ramkishor Dept. of Kayachikitsa, National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur – 302002, Rajasthan, India Email: joshirk1964@gmail.com

> Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None Declared