INTERNATIONAL AYURVEDIC MEDICAL JOURNAL



Research Article ISSN: 2320 5091 Impact Factor: 5.344

THE ROLE OF SIMHAMRUTA GHRITA IN THE MANAGEMENT OF MADHUMEHA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NIDDM

Priyanka V. Dekate¹, Suryaprakash K. Jaiswal²

¹MD Kayachikitsa, Assistant Professor, Siddhakala Ayurved Mahavidyalaya, Sangamner, Maharashtra, India ²MD Phd Kayachikitsa, DO, Kayachikitsa, HOD, Professor, D.M.M. Ayurved College, Yavatmal, Maharashtra, India

Email: priyankav635@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The international Diabetes Federation estimates that more than 250million people around the world have diabetes. India gains its position as a capital of diabetes in the world. Every 10 seconds two people develop diabetes. Ayurveda relates madhumeha with diabetes mellitus. Now days, madhumeha (Diabetes Mellitus) has become a favorite subject for the researchers of various medical fields. The disease prameha is generally concerned with urinary abnormalities and from the ayurvedic point of view it is a disease of "Mutravaha & Medoaha Strotas". As per ayurveda if all types of prameha left untreated lead to Madhumeha which is a type of Vataj Prameha (Ojomeha) which is asadhya – yapya. Aim & Objectives: To see the role of simhamruta ghrita in the management of madhumeha with special reference to NIDDM. Methodology: the study is based on ayurvedic samhitas and modern texts and different websites related with diabetes. Discussion: Diabetes has its poor socioeconomic impact on human beings. The continuously changing life style i.e. lack of exercise, excessive day sleeping or late night sleeping and eating junk food instead of nutritious and fiber rich food and stressful job works are the responsible factors for incidence of lifestyle disorders like diabetes. Conclusion: Simhamruta Ghrita is found significantly effective in relieving the symptoms of madhumeha in symptom wise statistical analysis but the onset of action of Metformin is quick earlier than Simhamruta Ghrita.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Madhumeha, lifestyle disorders, Simhamruta ghrita

INTRODUCTION

In recent days or years, India has managed the series of communicable diseases but the nation has to now deal with a breed of life style disorders like Diabetes mellitus. *Madhumeha*, disease entity where the patient passes urine that is sweet, astringent and white pale in color^{1, 2}. From observation of these qualities of urine, clinical features and etiological factors, pathogenesis, Diabetes mellitus is correlated with *Madhumeha*. In addition to the consequences of abnormal metabolism of glucose (e.g. hyperlipidemia, glycosylation of proteins etc) there are no. Of long-term complications associated with the disease. These include cardiovascular, peripheral, ocular, neurological and renal abnormalities, which are responsible for morbidity, disabilities and premature death in young adults^{4, 6, 9}.

Madhumeha is included in *ashtoumahagada* and the reference is found in chapter 33rd of sutrasthana '*AvarniyaAdhyayam* of *sushrutasamhita*. The incurable stage of *prameha* is termed as madhmeha. It is nothing but the kind of *vataj prameha* characterized by excretion of urine which resembles honey like sweet taste and frequency of micturition³.

Aim:

1. To see the role of *Simhamruta Ghrita* in the management of *madhumeha* with special reference to NIDDM.

2. **Objectives**:

Primary Objectives (Literary study):

- 1. To study *nidanpanchaka*, types and *updravas of Madhumeha* from ayurvedic classics.
- 2. To study etiology, types and pathogenesis of Diabetes mellitus from modern texts.
- 3. To study the *chikitsa upakramas* explained in *Ayurveda* and line of treatment according to modern science.
- 4. To study mode of action of Simhamruta Ghrita on the text basis.

Primary objectives (Clinical study):

- 1. To study the efficacy of Simhamruta Ghrita in Madhumeha.
- 2. To study the efficacy of Tab. Metformin in madhumeha.

3. To study mode of action of Simhamruta Ghrita on the clinical basis.

Material & Methods:

PREPARATION OF DRUG: *Simhamruta Ghrita* is described by Bhavaprakasha.

The contents are given as⁶:

Kantakari- solanum Zanthocarpum
Guduchi - tinospora Cordifolia

3. *Shunthi* - zingiber officinale

4. *Maricha* - piper Nigrum

5. Pippali- piper Longum

6. Amalaki - emblica Officinale

7. *Bibhitaki*- terminalia Belerica

8. *Haritaki* - terminalia Chebula 9. *Rasna* - pluchea Lanceolata

10. Vidanga embelia Ribes

11. Chitrak - plumbago Zeylanica

12. *Kashmarya*- gmelina Arborea

13. Putik (Karanja)- pongamia Pinnata

14. *Kutaj* - hollarhena Antidysentrica

Drug Manufacturing -

The drugs (raw) *Kantakari & Guduchi* one hundred *pala* (4 kg) were pounded in mortar & pestle and then boiled in 4 *drona* (40.96 liter) of water, decoction reduced to ½. To this were added one *prastha* (640 gms) of *Ghrita & paste of Trikatu, Triphala, rasna, vidanga, Chitraka,* root of *Kashmarya,* bark of *karanja & kalinga* 12 gm each.

GROUPING & RANDOMIZATION OF PATIENT (clinical study)

The study of *Madhumeha* was carried out in OPD / IPD of *Kayachikitsa* department. 60 patients of *Madhumeha* were randomly selected and divided in two groups.

Trial Group - 30

Control Group - 30

Patients were diagnosed on the basis of clinical signs & symptoms described in ayurvedic texts & modern medicine.

The two groups of study are

Group A - Trial Group

Drug - Simhamruta Ghrita Dose - 1 Aksha (10-12 gm)

Anupana - Milk &cooked rice (purana)

Follow up - 1st, 2nd; 3rd, 4th week (1 month) and other with gap

of 15 days for next 1months.

Group B - Control Group

Drug - Tab. Metformin Hydrochloride after meal

Dose - 500 mg BD

Follow up 1^{st} , 2^{nd} ; 3^{rd} , 4^{th} week (1 month) and other with gap

of 15 days for next 1months.

Observation & criteria for gradation of Disease:

1. PrabhutMutrata (Polyurea):-

Frequency / Day	Frequency / night	Grade
1-4	1 - 2	0
5 – 7	3 – 4	1
8 – 10	5-6	2
10- 12	7 – 8	3
> 12	>8	4

2. Aavilmutrata (turbidity of urine)

AavilMutrata	Grade
Absent	0
Present	+

3. Panipadayodaha (burning sensation in soles and palms)

Panipadayodaha	Grade
No burning	0
Occasional	1
Intermittent	2

4. *Trut* (Polydipsia)

Frequency / Day	Frequency / Night	Volume	Grade
1 - 4		<2	0
5 – 7	2 – 3	2 - 2.5	1
8 – 10	4 – 5	2.5 - 3	2
10 – 12	6 – 8	3.5 – 4	3
>12	>8	> 4.5	4

5. *Shlathangatwam* (weakness)

Shlathangatwam (Weakness / fatigue)	Grade
After 2 km walk	0
After 1 km	1
After ½ km	2
After routine work	3
During routine work	4

6. Polyphagia (trut)

Meals / Day	Extra	Qty.(roti)	Grade
3	0	5 – 6	0
3	1	7 – 8	1
3	2	9 – 10	2
3	3	11 – 12	3
3	> 3	> 12	4

7. Swaduasyata (Sweet Taste in Mouth)

Absent	0
Present	+

8. Laboratory Investigations:

Urine Sugar	Score
Nil	0
Trace	1
0.5	2
1.0	3
1.5	4
2.0 gm	5

9. Criteria for final assessment

Complete relief	100%
Marked improvement	>=75%
Moderate relief	>= 50%
Mild relief	>= 25%
No improvement	< 25

A composite score of above subjective parameters will be done.

Objectives:

Laboratory Investigations –

1) Blood Sugar level:

Fasting: 70-130mg/dl

Post - Prandia: 200 - 270mg/dl

2) Urine Sugar level:

Fasting

Post – Prandial

Investigations were done before & after the treatment.

10. Comparison between Group A and Group B.

	Group	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	Mann-Whitney U	P-Value
	Group A	30	25.87	776.00		
PRABHUTMUTRATA	Group B	30	35.13	1054.00	311.000	0.024
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	28.60	858.00	393.000	0.031
AAVILMUTRATA	Group B	30	32.40	972.00		
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	30.35	910.50		
PANIPADAYO DAHA	Group B	30	34.65	919.50	445.500	0.045
	Total	60				
TRUT	Group A	30	29.62	888.50	423.500	0.042

Priyanka V. Dekate & Suryaprakash K. Jaiswal: The Role Of Simhamruta Ghrita In The Management Of Madhumeha With special reference To NIDDM

	Group B	30	35.38	941.50		
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	29.53	886.00		
SWADUASYATA	Group B	30	33.47	944.00	421.000	0.032
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	27.47	824.00		
SHLATHNGATWAM	Group B	30	34.53	1006.00	359.000	0.031
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	27.58	827.50		
KSHUDHADHIKYA	Group B	30	35.42	1002.50	362.500	0.038
	Total	60				

For comparison between Group A and Group B, we have used Mann Whitney U test. From above table we can observe that P-Values for all parameters are less than 0.05 hence we conclude that there is significant

difference between Group A and Group B. Further we can observe that mean rank for Group B is greater than Group A hence we conclude that effect observed in Group B is more than Group A.

11. Comparison between Group A and Group B

	Group	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	Mann-Whitney U	P-Value
	Group A	30	25.45	763.50		
Urine Sugar F	Group B	30	35.55	1066.50	298.500	0.040
	Total	60				
	Group A	30	26.80	804.00		
Urine Sugar PP	Group B	30	34.20	1026.00	339.000	0.031
	Total	60				

For comparison between Group A and Group B, we have used Mann Whitney U test. From above table we can observe that P-Values are less than 0.05 hence we conclude that there is significant difference between

Group A and Group B. Further we can observe that mean rank for Group B is more than Group A hence we can conclude that effect observed in Group B is more than Group A.

12. BSL

BSL (F)		Mean	N	SD	SE	t-Value	P-Value	Result
Group A	Before	152.5	30	10.9	2.0	4.633	0.000	Sig
	After	132.7	30	23.4	4.3			
Group B	Before	157.3	30	14.0	2.6	10.398	0.000	Sig
	After	124.1	30	18.8	3.4			
BSL (PP)		Mean	N	SD	SE	t-Value	P-Value	Result
Group A	Before	221.0	30	19.3	3.5	7.114	0.000	Sig
	After	166.1	30	36.2	6.6			
Group B	Before	225.6	30	24.4	4.5	12.617	0.000	Sig
	After	150.2	30	27.8	5.1			

Since observations are quantitative, we have used paired t-test to test efficacy in Group A and Group B. From above table we can observe that P- Values for Group A and Group B are less than 0.05 hence we conclude that effect observed in both Groups are significant.

13. OVERALL ASSESSMENT:

Overall Effect	Group A		Group B		
Overall Effect	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	
Marked Improvement	1	3.3	1	3.3	
Moderate Improvement	7	23.3	12	40.0	
Mild Improvement	20	66.7	16	53.3	
No Change	2	6.7	1	3.3	

DISCUSSION

Diabetes has its poor socioeconomic impact on human beings. The continuously changing life style i.e. lack of exercise, excessive day sleeping or late night sleeping and eating junk food instead of nutritious and fiber rich food and stressful job works are the responsible factors for incidence of lifestyle disorders like diabetes⁷. Though it is a *tridoshakopa nimmittaj vyadhi* it primarily involves *vata* and *kaphadosha*. Vata may be aggravated either due to *dhatukshaya* or by *avarana of kapha* or *pitta* to it. The initial

dushya involved in the pathogenesis are meda and kleda, strotasa affected are mutravaha and medovahastrotasa.

Charakacharya has classified madhumeha into Apatarpanjanya and Santarpanjanya while vagbhata has categorized madhumeha into Dhatukshaya nimittaja and Avaranjanya madhumeha. Apatarpanjanya and Santarpanjanya madhumeha can be correlated with Dhatukshaya nimittaja and Avaranajanya madhumeha respectively. The Dhatukshayanimittajamadhumeha is considered asadhya (incurable) but

the Avaranajanya Madhumeha has been told as krucchasadhya (difficult to cure). Simhamruta Ghrita contains 14 drugs all of which possess tikta, kashaya rasa, and triphala, trikatu having malanissaraka and agnivardhana properties and alleviates vitiated tridosha simultaneously.

CONCLUSION

Simhamruta Ghrita is found significantly effective in relieving the symptoms of madhumeha in symptom wise statistical analysis but the onset of action of Metformin is quick earlier than Simhamruta Ghrita. No adverse effect was seen during the study with Simhamruta Ghrita. Metformin is more effective in treatment of Madhumeha than Simhamruta Ghrita.

Along with medicines restricted diet, exercise, better lifestyle modifications (satmy aahar vihar, pathya) are also important in the management of madhumeha. In overall analytical study it is observed that Tab. Metformin hydrochloride is better than Simhamruta Ghrita in the management of madhumeha.

Therefore, it is concluded that *Simhamruta Ghrita* might be useful with other known anti-diabetic drugs as an adjuvant therapy to prevent secondary resistance to disease.

REFERENCES

- 1. Charaksamhita, chikitsasthana, Pramehachikitsadhyaya 6 46/47, edited by Dr. Kashinath Shastri, Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, edition reprint 2002, Chaukhambha prakashan, page no. 227-244
- Charaksamhita, chikitsasthana, Deerghamjivitayaadhyaya 1st 17/82, edited by Dr. Kashinath Shastri, Dr. Gorakhnath Chaturvedi, edition reprint 2002, Chaukhambha prakashan, page no. 6-8
- Sushruta samhita, Chikitsasthana, Prameha chikitsa 11/12,edited by Dr. Ambikadatta Shastri 8th edition, Chaukhambha Sanskrit sansthana 1993page no.451-453
- 4. Dr. Vijay Patrikar, Sampurna Swasthavritta vigyan edition- Godavari prakashan, edition 1st 2005, pub. Godavari publisher Part 2, page no. 1-110

- 5. Acharya Priyavat Sharma, Dravyaguna Vjnyana edition 2012, Chaukhmbha Bharati Akadami part 2 page no: 162,345, 423, 437, 650, 686, 658, 684
- 6. Guyton & Hall, edition 2010, Textbook of Human Physiology, chapter 78, pp.886
- 7. Dietary management of type 2 DM with cardiac risk factors by RenuBatra, March 200, pp186.
- 8. Yadaiha P, Effect of basti treatment in jatajprameha, sachitra Ayurveda May 1987 pp.98-101
- 9. WHO,1999, Definition, Diagnosis and its complications, WHO/NCD/NCS/99.2

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict Of Interest: None Declared

How to cite this URL: Priyanka V. Dekate & Suryaprakash K. Jaiswal: The Role Of Simhamruta Ghrita In The Management Of Madhumeha With special reference To NIDDM. International Ayurvedic Medical Journal {online} 2019 {cited November, 2019} Available from: http://www.iamj.in/posts/images/upload/1988 1994.pdf