

INTERNATIONAL AYURVEDIC MEDICAL JOURNAL







Research Article ISSN: 2320-5091 Impact Factor: 6.719

CONTROVERSIAL IDENTITY OF ARJUNA (TERMINALIA ARJUNA (ROXB.) WIGHT & ARN) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO KAKUBHA AND ARTAGALA

Ekta Manhas¹, Anil Kumar Singh²

¹Junior Resident, Dept. of Dravyaguna, Faculty of Ayurveda, IMS, BHU, Varanasi, India

Corresponding Author: manhasekta43@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.46607/iamj1210092022

(Published Online: September 2022)

Open Access

© International Ayurvedic Medical Journal, India 2022

Article Received: 28/08/2022 - Peer Reviewed: 09/09/2022 - Accepted for Publication: 10/09/2022



ABSTRACT

India is a very vast country and hence naturally plants are known by different names in various parts of the country. Controversial plants have their roots in past. This legacy has resulted in controversies about plants in *Ayurve-da*. In the present era, proper identification is a major problem faced by various *Ayurveda* fraternities. Also, there are no definite rules given for proper identification, and for a single drug, various synonyms are given which amounts to complexity in this aspect. In this article, the controversies related *to Arjuna*, have been tried to clarify. *Arjuna* in many instances has been used with *Kakubha* and *Artagala* correspondently in main classic *Ayurvedic* texts. Hence, controversy and correct identification of each plant were dealt with, with special reference to each of these three drugs. Considering the facts and information available from *Ayurvedic* texts, research papers, botanical descriptions of identical plants, and various commentaries, it was concluded that *Arjuna* is to be identified as *Terminalia arjuna* (*Roxb.*) *Wight & Arn; Kakubha* is to be identified as *Terminalia myriocarpa Heurck & Muell-Arg* or, as *Lactuca serriola Linn;* and *Artagala* is to be identified as a blue variety of *Saireyaka* as *Barleria strigose Linn.* or *Xanthium strumarium Linn.*

Keywords: Controversial plants, Ayurveda, Arjuna, Kakubha, Artagala.

²Professor, Dept. of Dravyaguna, Faculty of Ayurveda, IMS, BHU, Varanasi, India

INTRODUCTION

India is a very vast country with different languages, climatic conditions, and different people with different dietary habits. So, naturally, plants are known by different names in various parts of the country. The proper identity of drugs should be revealed on the basis of their morphological characteristics described in Nighantus, therapeutic uses given in Samhitas, and pharmagnostical and phytochemical standards set by researchers. Classically, when it is found that different drugs having similar nomenclature are used in a single formulation then the purpose, the context, the treatise, and proper reasoning should be used for their proper usage.^[1] It is also seen that one particular name is given to many drugs while one drug is known by many names. These names are given according to specificity, morphological characters, and pharmacological properties like rasa, etc. [2] The problem of identification is as old as our classics. It is said in the classics that the names of the herbs are known to the saints, forest tribes, shepherds, etc. Therefore, the local names and identification features of different plants should be obtained from them only. [3] Moreover, Acharya Charaka said that the drug

should be identified by its name, appearance, and properties that the drug possesses. He added that the one who knows this all is only be said as great *Vaidya*. ^[4] *Acharya Charaka* throws light on the need for identification too. He said that an unidentified plant or drug may act as poison and the drug identified by name, appearance and properties may act as nectar. ^[5] There has been some controversy regarding the identity of *Arjuna* which is seen interchangeably used with the names *Kakubha* and *Artagala* in various classical texts. Hence an attempt was made to correctly identify each of these drugs.

Materials and Methods: All the relevant information was searched from classic *Ayurvedic* texts as well as articles published in various journals through PubMed, SciFi, and Scopus.

Arjuna in Vedas ^[6] Arjuna is mentioned in Atharvaveda. It is said that Kṛmi keeps themselves away from Arjuna. (IV: 37:3) It is basically used as Kṛmi nashaka (IV: 37:34); Vayu maṇḍala shodhaka (IV: 37:31); Kṣetriya roga nashaka (II: 2:8/3); and Bala vardhaka (V: 28:5).

Table 01: Arjuna, Kakubha and Artagala in Samhitas [7][8][9][10]

References	Name and Synonyms	Useful part/ preaparation and <i>Mahakashaya</i>	Indications
C.S.Su.3/5	Arjuna	Churṇa/ Pradeha	Tvak roga
C.S.Su.4/43	Arjuna	Udardaprashamana Mahākaṣāya	Udardaprashamana
C.S.Su.5/73	Kakubha	Shaka	Dantadhavana
C.S.Ci.3/258	Kakubha	Candanadi Taila	Daha and Jvara
C.S.Ci.4/75	Dhananjay-a	Kiratiktadi Churṇa	Raktapitta
C.S.Ci.6/27	Arjuna	Kvatha	Kaphaja Prameha
C.S.Ci.6/31	Arjuna	Kvatha	Pittaja Prameha
C.S.Ci.6/38	Arjuna	Trikanṭakadhya Ghṛta	Kaphaja and Vataja Prameha
C.S.Ci.7/129	Kakubha	Tvak	Kusṭha
C.S.Ci.8/129	Arjuna	Yuṣa	Rajyakṣama and Atisara
C.S.Ci.14/214	Kakubha	Kvatha	Arsha
C.S.Ci.23/100	Kakubha	Churṇa	Kṛmi
C.S.Ci.23/204	Arjuna	Kvatha	Kiṭa and Luta damsha, Vrana
C.S.Ci.25/113	Kakubha	Churṇa	Tvak Sanjanana
C.S.Ci.29/143	Kakubha	Puṣpa/ Kalka Pralepa	Vata Rakta
C.S.Ci.30/92	Arjuna	Puṣyanuga churṇa	Yoni and Rajodoșa
Su.S.Su.14/36	Arjuna	Churṇa	Raktatipravritti
Su.S.Su.38/10-11	Kakubha	Nyagrodhadigaṇa	Pittahara, Sandhaniya

Su.S.Śā.2/8-9	Arjuna	Ghṛta	Kuṇapagandhadi shukra Dosha
Su.S.Ci.2/65	Arjuna	Taila	Vrana ropaka
Su.S.Ci.3/6	Kakubha	Tvak	Bhagna
Su.S.Ci.9/7	Kakubha	Ghṛta	Pittaja Kuṣṭha
Su.S.Ci.11/8	Kakubha	Kvatha	Shukrameha
Su.S.Ci.15/23	Kakubha	Tvak	Sutika vedana
Su.S.Ci.25/28	Arjuna	Nilataila	Palitya
Su.S.Ci.25/32	Arjuna	Saireyakadi Taila	Blackening of hair
Su.S.Ka.6/3	Arjuna, Kakubha	Kṣaragada	Sarpadaṃsha
Su.S.Ka.6/22	Partha	Mahasugandhi Agada	Sarpaviṣa
Su.S. Utt.12/11	Arjuna	Kalka	Raktabhiṣyanda
Su.S. Utt.39/252	Arjuna	Kṣira Vṛkshadi Taila	Jirna Jvara
Su.S. Utt.45/36	Arjuna	Phanta	Raktapitta
Su.S.Su.38/10-11	Artagala	Varunadi Gaṇa	Kaphamedohara, shirahshula, Gulma, Abhyantara Vidradhi
Su.S.Su.39/7	Artagala	Vata Samshamana Var- ga	-
Su.S.Ci.7/6	Artagala	Pashaṇabhedadi Gaṇa	Vatashmari
Su.S. Utt.9/19	Artagala	Ghrita	Vataja Abhiṣyanda

Table 02: Arjuna in Nighantus

Nighaṇṭu	Varga/Gaṇa	Synonyms	Description
Amara Kośa [11] (5 th Century AD)	Vanauṣadhivarga	Nadisarga, Virataru, Indradu & Kakubha	(A.K.2/4/45)
Saushruta Nighantu [12] (6-7 th Century AD)	Sālsārādigaṇa	(New ones) Kīrīta, Madhugandhikapuṣpa & Phala- guṇa	(S.Ni. Salsaradigaṇa/68)
Siddha Sara Nighantu [13] (7 th Century AD)	Arjuna- Saladigaṇa Partha- Nyagro- dhadi Gaṇa		(S.S.Ni.2/23-33) (S.S.Ni.2/3-4)
Paryaya Ratna Mala [14] (9th Century AD)		Dhanvi, Nadija, Panḍava, shulka (New Ones)	(P.R.M.16/18)
Dhanvantari Nighantu [15] (10-12th Century AD)	Amradi Varga	(New ones) Kiriti, Citrayodhi, Virantaka, Panḍya	(Dha. Ni. Amradi Varga/108-09) It is Kashya and Ushna. It is Kaphahara and Pittahara and helps to heal wounds. It aggravates Vata.
Şodhala Nighantu ^[16] (12th Century AD)	Amradi Varga	(New One) Citrayodhi	It is useful in Kṣata, Vataroga, Bhagna, Raktastambhana as well as Balya. (So.Ni. Nama Saṃgra- ha/16) (So.Ni. Amradi Varga/ 604) (So.Ni. Guna Samgra- ha/513)
Siddha Mantra Prakasha [17] (13th Century AD)		(New ones) Karanja, Kampillaka, Bala	(S.M.P.;103)

Madanapala Nighantu [18] (14th Century AD)	Vaṭadi Varga	(New ones) Syannada, Manju, Rathadruma	Kakubha is said to be Shitala and useful in Bhagna, Kshata, Kshaya, Visha and Raktavikara. (M.P.N. Vaṭadi Varga/ 26-27)
Haritakydi Nighantu [19] (14th Century AD)	Vaṭadi Varga	(New one) – Viravṛksha, Veera, Dhavala	Kakubha is told as Hridya, Kashaya, Sheetala, Kapha- Pittahara and which cures Meda, Meha, Vrana, etc. (H.Ni. Vaṭadi Varga/26-27)
Kaiyadeva Nighantu ^[20] (15th Century AD)	Arjuna Ārtagala Both in Auşadhi Varga	(New ones) Arjuna- Dhurtabhu- ruha, Madh-ugandhiprasunaka Artagala (New ones)- Duspragharsha, Svvdutiktaphala, Argata	Opinion of Madanapala Nighantu is followed here for Arjuna. Artagala is said to be Kashya, Tikta and useful in Vraṇa-shodhanaropaṇa. (K.Ni.1/819-821) (K.Ni.1/487-488)
Bhavaprakasha Nighantu [21][23] (16th Century AD)	Arjuna- Vaṭadi Varga Artagala- (As a synonym of Nila Sahacara)- Puṣpa Varga	Similar Synonyms for Arjuna. Nila Sahacara- Baṇa, Dasi, Ar- tagala	Arjuna is said to be sitala, Kashaya, Hridya, and Kaphapit- tahara. Sahacara is Tikta, Madhura, Anamla and Snigdha. (B.P.Ni. Vaṭadi Varga/26-27) (B.P.Ni. Puṣpa Varga/52-53)
Raja Nighantu ^[22] (17th Century AD)	Prabhadradi Varga	(New ones) Shambara, Gandivi, Shivamallaka, Savyasaci, Karnari, Kaunteya, Indrasunu, Viradra, Krishnasarthi, Prithaja, Phala- guna, Dhaniī	Similar properties are mentioned above. (R.N. Prabhadradi Varga/ 116- 118)
Nighantu Adarsha ^[24] (20th Century AD)	Haritakyadi Varga		Classical uses of Arjuna are mentioned in it as well as the controversy of Arjuna, Kakubha, and Artagala is elaborated.
Priya Nighantu ^[25] (20th Century AD)	Haritakyadi Varga		It has straight branches with white bark, that's why it is called Arjuna. It is of Sita Virya, Kashaya and best Hridya. (P.Ni. Haritakyadi Varga/125-126)

Botanical description of plants considered as *Arjuna*, *Kakubha*, and *Artagala*

Arjuna is a very reputed drug for all types of heart diseases, and it is used by many Vaidyas of India in their medicines. In Nighantu, Samgraha's attempt was made to determine the identity of Ayurvedic drugs. The author has given the names in various languages including the scientific name in latin. He has shown here that Arjuna means white and Sterculia urens Roxb. is white, should be accepted as Arjuna. Another species named Terminalia glabra is accepted as Arjuna in Gujarat. In markets also the bark of Terminalia tomentosa W. & A. is available as Arjuna. There is yet no uniformity of opinion amongst

Vaidyas about the correct identification of Arjuna as there are others who still regard Lagestroemia flos reginae Retz. as Arjuna in some parts. Modern botanical writers have identified Arjuna as Terminalia arjuna W. & A. [35] Dalhaṇa has equated Artagala with Kakubha in some references, which is ordinarily a synonym of Arjuna. But Kakubha and Arjuna are mentioned together in Ksharagada (Su.S.Ka.6/3) but both are separate drugs according to Susruta. Acharya P.V. Sharma has suggested Terminalia myriocarpa Heurch & Mucell. -Arg. or Lactuea serriola Linn., an allied species to the Kakubha. Artagala as mentioned by Acharya Dalhaṇa is a synonym of Kakubha and its identity is consistent with Lactuca serriola Linn. Also, Artagala is accepted as a synonym of

Nila Sahacara or the blue variety of *Saireyaka* and is identified as *Barleria strigosa* Willd. [36]

Terminalia arjuna W.&A. (Fam. Combretaceae)

It is a large tree with a huge often buttressed trunk and spreading branches. It is found throughout India. The bark is smooth pinkish white, the inner surface is reddish, and flakes off in large flat pieces. Leaves are 10-15 \times 4-7 cm oblong with 6-10 mm long petiole having two glands near its apex. Flowers are yellowish white in short axillary spikes or terminal panicles. Fruits are 2.5-5 cm long, ovoid or ovoid-oblong drupes, with five hard coriaceous projecting wings.

Terminalia tomentosa W.&A. (Fam. Combretaceae)

These are deciduous trees, upto 30 m high; bark 15-20 mm thick, surface grey-black, very rough, deeply vertically fissured, horizontally cracked, forming tessellated, thick flakes; and blaze red. Leaves are simple, opposite to subopposite, exstipulate; petiole 10-20 mm long, stout, grooved above, glabrous; midrib with 2 stalked glands near the base beneath. It is casually known as the Crocodile Bark tree due to its characteristic bark pattern.

Sterculia urens Roxb. (Fam. Malvaceae)

It is a deciduous tree, upto 15 m high, bark 10-12 mm, white or greenish-grey, smooth, exfoliating in large, thin, papery flakes; blaze red; exudation yellowish-white, sticky; branches horizontal; branchlets pubescent. Leaves simple, palmately 3-5 lobed, alternate, clustered at the tips of branchlets, stipules free. Fruit is an aggregate of 4-6 follicles, red, densely pubescent, mixed with stinging hairs: seeds 3-6, brown or black, oblong.

Terminalia myriocarpa Heurch & Mucell. -Arg (Fam. Combretaceae)

It is a tree, up to 20 m tall; its bark is brownish black, longitudinally peeling; branches are spreading, forming tiers. Leaves are simple, alternate & crowded into pseudo whorls at apices of branchlets. Flowers are fragrant with five lobes and are exserted. Fruit is red or blackish green when ripe, ellipsoid, and slightly to strongly compressed.

Lactuca serriola Linn. (Fam. Asteraceae)

Lactuca serriola Linn. also called prickly lettuce, compass plant has a spineless reddish stem, containing a milky latex, growing up to 2 metres, and has a fetid odour. The leaves get progressively smaller as they reach their top. They are oblong or lanceolate, often pinnately lobed, and (especially for the lower leaves), waxy grey-green. Fine spines are present along the veins and leaf edges. The undersides have whitish veins. The bracts are also often tinged purple. The achenes are grey, tipped with bristles. The pappus is white with equal-length hairs.

Barleria strigosa Willd. (Fam. Acanthaceae)

It grows upto 80 cm in height. Stems are coarsely fulvous strigose and subterete. The petiole is brownish yellowish strigose and 0.7–2.5cm. The leaf blade is ovate to elliptic, both surfaces are fulvous strigose along veins with 5.5–15 x 2.2–5.5 cm dimension. Flowers are in terminal dense spikes. The capsule is ellipsoid, glabrous, 1.4–1.8 x 0.5 cm, shining, and four-seeded. It occurs naturally in the foothills of the Himalayas.

DISCUSSION

It seems from the above-mentioned description that Arjuna and Kakubha are separately used in Charak Samhita. Description of Arjuna in Susruta Samhita appears in Salasaradigana as well as among Sarasava in Charak Samhita. It seems Arjuna is a tree with Sara and is Kapha-medodhatu Shoshaka. Kakubha is mentioned in Nyagrodhadigana by Acharya Susruta and that's why it is Pittahara & Sandhaniya. Also, in one instance while describing Ksharagada, both Arjuna and Kakubha are specified separately. Hence up to that time, these may be two separate plants. It also seems that by the time of Acharya Vagbhata, Kakubha became to be used as an alternative to Arjuna and also used interchangeably. Description of Artagala does not occur in Charak Samhita. In Susruta Samhita, it appears in Varunadi Gana & Vata Samshamana Varga. It appears by this description that Artagala is a different species than Arjuna and Kakubha. It is found to be useful in Vatashmari, Svarabheda, Netra rogas, etc. By the time of Nighantus, Kakubha became a synonym of Arjuna and Artagala became a synonym of Nila Sahacara. According to Acharya Dalhana Kakubha has been mentioned as a synonym of Arjuna in most instances. But at one while commenting instance on Ksharagada (Su.S.Ka.6/3), he mentions it to be a shrub with aromatic roots. At one instance, he mentions Artagala as Nila Sahacara (Su. Utt.17/51). Now one species of the above plant, Lactuca indica is used as a salad in the eastern part of the country. So, Artagala described by *Acharya Dalhana* could be this plant only. Otherwise, Artagala is accepted as a synonym of Nila Sahacara or the blue variety of Saireyaka and is identified as Barleria strigosa (Fam. Acanthaceae). He describes Artagala as a synonym of Kakubha and gives its description as the drug which was famous as a synonym of Kakubha & was famous by name of "Kavaha", "Kouha" or "Kukhaka" in eastern regions, had aromatic roots, was a shrub, had thorns, spiny and hairy fruits and grows in places with plenty of water. (Su. Su.38/10-11) (Su. Su.39/7) (Su. Ci.7/6) (Su. Utt.9/19). Acharya PV Sharma states that Arjuna is to be inferred as Terminalia arjuna delineating its concordance with Asana as mentioned in Sarasava by Ācārya Caraka & Salasaradigana by Acharya Susruta as a tree having Sara. He considers Kakubha to be Terminalia myriocarpa as it is mentioned in Nyagrodhadigana by Acharya Susruta and thereby is a huge tree. Other similarities he draws are growing in the eastern region of India, is famous as "Panisaja", is similar to Arjuna, and its bark is used in cardiac ailments. [26] While reading commentary on Bhavaprakasha Nighantu by Dr. KC Chunekar, we come across the reference that there is yet no uniformity of opinion amongst Vaidyas about the correct identification of Arjuna as there are others who still regard Lagerstroema fios-regina (Lythraceae) as Arjuna in some parts and there exists a difference among the modern investigators and Vaidyas for its therapeutic efficacy. He considers Terminalia arjuna (Combretaceae) as Arjuna. He thinks that a genuine Arjuna is yet to be determined. He considers Artagala as Xanthium strumarium. [26] According to him, there is a large number of drugs such as Terminalia arjuna, Terminalia glabra, Terminalia tomentosa, and Stre-

culia urens which are used as Arjuna by Vaidyas of Gujarat. According to him Terminalia arjuna and Streculia urens can both be Arjuna. Also, he states that in some parts of the country, Jarul (Lagerstroema fios-regina) is being used as Arjuna. He also adds that Arjuna which is indicated by Vrnda and Cakradatta may be Terminalia tomentosa, as it has very potent cardio-tonic action. [27] As mentioned earlier, Arjuna can be Terminalia arjuna, Terminalia tomentosa or Sterculia urens. According to a study [28] conducted for correct identification of Arjuna, the following things were concluded: Sterculia urens is a botanically completely different plant from the other two species. All three had Kashaya rasa with no significant change between their wild and cultivated varieties in organoleptic parameters. All the species had whitish outer bark which resembles the synonyms "Dhavala" & "Arjuna", but the bark of S. urens has been reported whiter. T. arjuna is common throughout the Indian peninsula along rivers, streams, ravines, and dry water courses resembling the synonym "Nadisarja". And completely conflicting, S. urens is usually found in dry, deciduous forests as a xerophytic species. As per botanical and pharmacognostical views, all three varieties differ from each other, but no change is found in wild and cultivated species. In the phytochemical study, S. urens cultivated variety had fewer minerals as compared to others. The wild variety of T. arjuna and cultivated variety of T. tomentosa showed more water and methanol solubility. The pH of all extracts was almost equal. In a qualitative analysis of tannin and carbohydrates, all plant extracts gave positive results, but on TLC, it was found that S. urens had a low percentage of tannin as compared to others. The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India considers *T. arjuna* as *Arjuna*. [29]

CONCLUSION

There are a number of speculations and controversies regarding the identification of *Arjuna* which is seen to be used a number of times in classic *Ayurvedic* texts interchangeably with names like *Kakubha* and *Artagala*. Arjuna is well identified as *Terminalia arjuna* (*Roxb.*) Wight & Arn. Kakubha is to be identi-

fied as *Terminalia myriocarpa Heurck & Muell-Arg*. or, as *Lactuca serriola Linn*. *Artagala* is to be identified as a blue variety of *Saireyaka* as *Barleria strigose Linn*. or *Xanthium strumarium Linn*.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bhogik, M. Dhanvantari Nighantu (Sanskrit Text with English Translation) (Singh, D. A., Trans.,); Chapter 1, Verse 5; Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2008
- 2. Bhogik, M. Dhanvantari Nighantu (Sanskrit Text with English Translation) (Singh, D. A., Trans.,); Chapter 1, Verse 9; Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2008
- 3. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita. Ed. Shastry, K., Trans. Sutrasthana Chapter 1, Verse 120; Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, 2013.
- 4. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita. Ed. Shastry, K., Trans. Sutrasthana Chapter 1, Verse 122-23; Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, 2013.
- 5. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita. Ed. Shastry, K., Trans. Sutrasthana Chapter 1, Verse 124-25; Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, 2013.
- 6. Sharma DC, Vedo mein Dravyaguna shastra; Gujarat Ayurveda University, Jamnagar (1968), p.210
- 7. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita. Ed. Shastry, K., Trans.; Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, 2013.
- 8. Sushruta *Sushruta Samhita*, Trikamji, A. Y., Eds.; Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy, 1980.
- 9. Vagbhata. Ashtanga Hridayam (The Core of Octopartite Ayurveda) with the Commentaries (Sarvangasundara of Arunadutta and Ayurvedarasayana of Hemadri). (Reprint Ninth Edition ed.); Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2005
- Vagabhata. Ashtang Sangraha. 13th Ed. Gupta KA, editor. Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi; 2000
- 11. Shastri, P. H. Amarakosha of Amarasimha with Ramashrami Commentary of Bhanuji Dikshita. Ed.; Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthana, 1957
- 12. Sharma K, Tiwari NN, editors. Saushruta Nighantu. 1st Ed. Mahendra Sanskrit Vishvavidhyalaya, Nepal; 2001
- 13. Vidhyanath R, editor. Siddhasara Samhita. Chaukhambha Prakashak, Varanasi; 2019
- 14. Kumar A, Singhal T, editors, Paryayratnamala of Madhavkara. Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi.

- Bhogik, M. Dhanvantari Nighantu (Sanskrit Text with English Translation) (Singh, D. A., Trans.,); Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2008
- 16. Pandey, P. G. Acharya Shodhala's Shodhala Nighantu (Text with English-Hindi Commentary). Pandey, P. G., Dwivedi, P. R., Eds. (Pandey, P. G., Trans.); Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy, 2009
- 17. Vidhyanath R, editor. Siddhasara Samhita. Chaukhambha Prakashak, Varanasi; 2019
- 18. Madanapala. Madanapala Nighantu. Ed. Pandey, G., Trans.; Chaukhambha Orientalia, 2012
- 19. Sharma S. Haritakyadi Nighantu. Khemraj Shri Krishnadas Prakashan, Mumbai; 1926
- 20. Kaiyadeva. Kaiyadeva Nighantu. Ed. (G. S. PV Sharma, Trans.); Chaukhambha Orientalia, 1979
- 21. Mishra, B. Bhavamishra-virachita Bhavaprakasha Edited with the Vidyotani Hindi Commentary. (Reprint Eleventh Edition ed., Vol. Second Volume). Mishra, B., Ed.; Chaukhambha Sanskrit Bhawan, 2010.
- 22. Pandit, N. Raj Nighantu. 6th ed. Tripathi, I., Ed. (Tripathi, I., Trans.); Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy, 2016
- Bhavamishra. Bhavaprakasha Nighantu. (G. P. KC Chunekar, Trans.); Chaukhambha Bharti Academy (2004)
- 24. Vaidya, B. G. Nighantu Adarsh. Ed.; Chaukhambha Bharti Academy, Varanasi (1999), p.572-576
- 25. Sharma, PV. Priya Nighantu. Ed.; Chaukhambha Sanskrit Academy, 2004
- 26. Chunekar KC, Yadav CL. Medicinal plants of Sushruta Samhita; Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi (2005), p.30-31,51,75,301,407-08,459,475-477
- Vaidya BG. Some controversial drugs in Indian medicine; Chaukhambha Prakashan, Varanasi, p.18-25
- 28. Mehta N, Pandya TN, Sharma PP, Bhatt U, et al. *A multidisciplinary method used for identification of crude drugs w.s.r. to Arjuna species.* IPGT&RA, Jamnagar (2007)
- 29. Anonymous, The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Part 1, 1st Ed.; Vol.II; Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Department of Ayurveda, Yoga & Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy (2008)

Source of Support: Nil Conflict of Interest: None Declared

How to cite this URL: Ekta Manhas & Anil Kumar Singh: Controversial identity of Arjuna (Terminalia arjuna (Roxb.) Wight & Arn) with special reference to Kakubha and Artagala. International Ayurvedic Medical Journal {online} 2022 {cited September 2022} Available from: http://www.iamj.in/posts/images/upload/2398_2404.pdf