

INTERNATIONAL AYURVEDIC MEDICAL JOURNAL







Research Article ISSN: 2320-5091 Impact Factor: 6.719

A CONTROLLED CLINICAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF INDIGENOUS DRUG FORMULATION IN ARDHAVABHEDAKA VIS-A-VIS MIGRAINE

Nalina A S

Assistant Professor, Department of Kayachikitsa, Govt. Ayurveda Medical College, Dhanvanthari Road, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India-560010

Corresponding Author: nalina.sridhar@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.46607/iamj0210022022

(Published Online: February 2022)

Open Access

© International Ayurvedic Medical Journal, India

Article Received: 17/01//2022 - Peer Reviewed: 03/02/2022 - Accepted for Publication: 04/02/2022



ABSTRACT

Background: Ardhavabhedaka is the disease afflicting Shiras (head) which is mentioned under Dashapranayatana. The disease was named because of its classical symptom of Shoola in half of the Shiras. Ardhavbhedaka is characterized by Shastra arani nibhavat shoola in half part of the Head and the areas like the nape of the neck, eyebrows, eyes, forehead, temporal region, and ears. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of Indigenous formulation in Ardhavbhedaka vis-à-vis Migraine. **Objective:** To study the added efficacy of indigenous drug formulation in Ardhavbhedaka vis-àvis Migraine. **Methods:** Study design: A controlled clinical study with pre, mid and post-test design. **Intervention:** The interventions were as follows.

Group A – Control Group

🗌 Amrutadi tal	ila nasya i	for the	first sev	en cor	isecutive	days	in a	a dose	of 8	drops	in eac	h nostril	(Ksheera,	bala
taila was used t	for <i>Urdhva</i>	ajatru f	or mass	ige)										

☐ *Kamdugha rasa* – one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm for 30 days

Group B – Test Group

☐ Amrutadi taila nasya for first seven consecutive days in a dose of 8 drops in each nostril (ksheerabala taila was used for Urdhwajatru for massage)

☐ *Kamdugha rasa* – one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm water for 30 days

□ Anubhuta yoga (Tablet form)- (Godanti bhasma, Guduchi satva, Varatika bhasma, Jatiphala churna, Vibhitaki churna, Shankhapushpi churna- are given Bhavana with Bhringaraja swarasa)— two tablets twice a day with warm water after food. (1 tablet= 500 mg) In this study, a total of three assessments of the subjects were done on Oday, 8th day, 16th day & 31st day. The results were analyzed statistically by using descriptive statistics, Contingency co-efficient test. In the study it was observed that the trial Group (Group B) showed clinically and statistically highly significant results; in reduction of severity of pain, duration of pain, Frequency of attack, Nausea, Vomiting, Photophobia, vertigo, and Phonophobia with a p-value 0.000; significant in the reduction of Tenderness with p-value 0.029; significant in the reduction of Confusional status with p-value 0.050.

On comparing the overall effect of the study, the trial group (Group B) showed better results than the control group (Group A). Hence, Indigenous drug formulation has a better role in the management of *Ardhavbhedaka*.

Keywords: Ardhavbhedaka, Migraine, Nasya Karma.

INTRODUCTION

Ardhavbhedaka is the disease afflicting shiras which is mentioned under *Dashapranayatanas*¹. The disease is named because of its classical symptom of pain in half of the head². Ardhavbhedaka is characterized by Shastra arani nibhavat shoola in half part of the Head and the areas like the nape of the neck, eyebrows, eyes, forehead, temporal region, and ears. The prime Dosha involved in Ardhavabedaka is Vata dosha or Vata-Kapha dosha³ (Tridoshas⁴) Headache occurs periodically once in 15 days or once in a month or anytime and relieves by itself ⁵. When it is severely aggravated it destroys Sensory functions like vision or hearing^{6,7,8,9}. Ardhavbhedaka is similar to migraine, described in Western medical science. Migraine is a chronic neurological disease¹⁰ characterized by recurrent, moderate to severe headache typically affecting one half of the head, is pulsating in nature and lasts from 4 to 72 hours. Associated symptoms may include nausea, vomiting, and sensitivity to light, sound, or smell. The pain is generally made worse by physical activity¹¹. The management for migraine in western medical science includes Pharmacologic and non-pharmacological treatments which include NSAIDs, 5-HT1 agonists, dopamine antagonists and avoiding specific headache triggers, lifestyle, healthful diet, regular sleep patterns, and avoidance of acute changes in stress levels¹². Frequent use of migraine medications like Ergotamine, opiates, analgesics, and triptans may cause medica-

tion-overuse headaches. Narcotics can lead to dependency, rebound headaches, and eventual loss of efficacy¹³. Classical textbooks of Ayurveda have explained various treatment modalities for Ardhavbhedaka such as Nasya karma, Snehapana, Nadisweda, Bastikarma, and Agnikarma¹⁴. Among which Nasya karma is appreciated for its superiority in treating Shiroroga¹⁵. Previous research works done on Nasya karma in the management of Ardhavbhedaka also gave encouraging results. In Ardhavbhedaka prime Dosha involved is Vata dosha associated with Pitta and Kapha dosha. These vitiated Dosha when it reaches Shira, in turn, vitiates Rakta and Siras resulting in the manifestation of Shiroroga¹⁶. Individual ingredients of Amrutadi taila possess Tikta rasa Pradhana. All ingredients are Tridosha hara mainly Vata hara, Vedana sthapaka and Raktashodaka property which is essential for Samprapti vighatana in Ardhavbhedaka. There are many formulations which are been traditionally used in Ardhavbhedaka for centuries by Ayurvedic clinicians. Drugs such as Godanti bhasma, Varatika bhasma, Guduchi satwa, Jatiphala churna, Vibhitaki churna, Shankhapushpi churna and Bhringaraja are some of the individual drugs which are indicated and also being practically used by the clinicians.

Hence, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of indigenous drug formulation, and *Am-rutadi taila nasya*, *Kamadugha rasa* orally were taken as a control in Ardhavbhedaka. Group A: Amrutadi taila nasya for the first seven consecutive days in a dose of 8 drops in each nostril (Ksheerabala taila was used for Urdhwajatru for Massage). Kamadugha rasa – one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm water for 30 days. Group B: Amrutadi taila nasya for the first seven consecutive days in a dose of 8 drops in each nostril (Ksheerabala taila was used for Urdhwajatru for Massage). Kamadugha rasa one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm water for 30 days. Anubhuta yoga (Tabet form) -(Godanti bhasma, Guduchi satva, Varatika bhasma, Jatiphala churna, Vibhitaki churna, Shankhapushpi churna- are given Bhavana with Bhringaraja swarasa) – two tablets twice a day with warm water after food. (1 tablet= 500 mg). Duration of the intervention: 30 days.

The assessment was done on the following parameters: Severity of pain, duration of pain, Frequency of attack, and associated symptoms like Nausea, Vomiting, Photophobia, Vertigo, Tinnitus, Aura, Phonophobia, Numbness, Heaviness, Tenderness, Diarrhoea, and Confusional status. Data were collected on 0-day, 8th day (after completion of nasya karma), 16th day (mid-test assessment), 31st day (post-test assessment).

METHODOLOGY

The Materials used in the study were:

- 1. Ksheerabala taila
- 2. Amrutadi taila

MATERIALS

- 3. Kamadugha rasa
- 4. Anubhuta yoga (tablet form)

Source of Drugs and Method of preparation: Amrutadi taila, Kamadugha rasa, Anubhuta yoga (tablet form) specifically prepared as per the classics from NKCA Pharmacy Pvt Ltd., (a GMP certified unit), Krishna Raja Mohalla, Mysuru, were procured for study. Ksheerabala taila manufactured from Govt. Central Pharmacy, Bengaluru supplied to Govt. Ayurveda Medical College & Hospital, Mysuru and was procured for study.

METHODS: Objectives of the study: To study the added efficacy of indigenous drug formulation in *Ardhavbhedaka* vis-àvis migraine.

To study the efficacy of Amrutadi taila nasya and Kamadugha rasa in Ardhavbhedaka vis-à-vis migraine.

Source of the data: Patients of all Gender diagnosed to be suffering from *Ardhavbhedaka* were selected from the OPD, IPD of Government Ayurveda Medical & College Hospital, Mysuru.

Sample size and Sampling method: A total of 58 subjects irrespective of gender, socio-economic status, and religion, having the signs and symptoms of *Ardhavbhedaka* vis-à-vis Migraine fulfilling the inclusion criteria were registered for the study. The selected subject's detailed profile was prepared as per the detailed proforma designed for the same purpose, which incorporates relevant data like symptomatology, physical signs, laboratory investigation reports as well as assessment criteria after taking written informed consent of the subject. The proforma is affixed in the appendix. Incidental selection and Random sampling techniques were employed. Subjects were assigned into two groups viz., Group A (Control group) and Group B (Trial group).

Out of 58 subjects registered, Group A consisted of 30 subjects and Group B with 28subjects. There were 6 dropouts, 4 in Group A and 2 in Group B, and the study was completed in 52 subjects with 26 subjects in each Group.

Inclusion Criteria

— Patients of all gender between the age group of
15-60yrs were selected.
☐ Patients with symptoms of Ardhavbhedaka vis-à-
vis Migraine:
\square Bheda, Toda, Shoola in Half Shiras.
☐ Occurrence of Shirashoola- Pakshat, masat or
Akasmat, Swayameva upashamyati.
☐ Unilateral throbbing / Pulsating pain, paroxysmal
associated with/ without nausea, vomiting, photopho-
bia/ phonophobia.
☐ Both freshly detected and treated cases were se-
lected

Exclusion Criteria		8-15th day	2				
\square Patients having a history of sever	e head injury.	15th-30th day	1				
☐ Patients with complicated mig	graine, status mi-	No attacks 0					
grainous, ophthalmic migraine, reti	nal migraine.	4. Associated symptoms					
q Patients with co-morbidity of sinu	isitis, uncontrolled	a) Nausea - Absent/Present					
diabetes, and hypertension		b) Vomiting - Absent/Present					
$\hfill \square$ Referred pain in one half of the	head due to disor-	c) Photophobia - Absent/Present					
der of eye, ear, nose, throat, and tee	th.	d) Vertigo - Absent/Present					
$\hfill \square$ Pregnant and lactating women w	ere excluded.	e) Tinnitus - Absent/Present					
Diagnostic Criteria The diagnosis	s was made based	f) Aura - Absent/Present					
on the criteria of migraine provide	ed by the Interna-	g) Phonophobia-Absent/Present					
tional Headache Society.		h) Numbness - Absent/Present					
☐ At least 5 attacks in history.		i) Heaviness - Absent/Present					
\square Headache attacks lasting 4-72 ho	ours.	j) Tenderness - Absent/Present					
Headache has at least 2 of the follow	wing	k) Diarrhea - Absent/Present					
1. Unilateral location.		1)Confusional state Absent/Preser	ıt				
2. Pulsating quality.		Assessment schedule: In this str	udy, a total of three				
3. Moderate or severe pain intensity	.	assessments of the subjects were done. Before start					
4. Aggravation by or causing avo	pidance of routine	ing the Intervention i.e., pre-test assessment was done					
physical activity		on 0 day. The next assessment wa	is done on				
(e.g., walking or climbing stairs).		8th day i.e after completion of na	ısya karma. Mid-test				
$\ \square$ During headache at least one of t	he following	assessment was done on the 16th	th day and post-test				
1. Nausea and/or vomiting		assessment i.e. After the comple	etion of intervention				
2. Photophobia and phonophobia		was done on the 31stday.					
Not attributed to another disease		STATISTICAL METHODS: The results were ana-					
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA		lyzed statistically by using descrip	ptive statistics, Con-				
Based on clinical grading of signs a	nd symptoms:	tingency coefficient test analysis	using Service prod-				
1. Severity of pain		uct for statistical solution (SPSS)) for Windows soft-				
Intolerable pain	4	ware.					
Disturbs the routine work	3	Investigations:					
Do not disturb the routine work	2	\square As diagnosis is done based on	symptoms explained				
Pain tolerable	1	for disease, no specific laborator	ry investigations are				
No pain	0	required.					
		☐ However necessary investigat	ion was carried out				
2. Duration of pain		in required cases to rule out other	er systemic diseases				
Over 24-72 hrs	4	and complications					
12-24 hrs	3	 Investigations for Exclusion p 	urpose –All the sub-				
4-12 hrs	2	jects were evaluated for their ph	•				
Up to 4 hrs	1	terms of pulse, respiration, bod	ly temperature, and				
No pain	0	pallor. To exclude other co-mor	rbidity like sinusitis				
		X-ray water's view was done.					
3. Frequency of attack		☐ Haematological examination					
Continuous / daily	4	ESR, RA, FBS, PPBS; Urine ex	-				
0- 8th day	3	Albumin, Micro, and other relevant investigations in					

appropriate cases were done to rule out systemic disorders. **Research design**: The present study was a controlled clinical trial with Pre, mid, and Post-test design. Intervention: Group A. ☐ Amrutadi taila nasya for the first seven consecutive days in a dose of 8 drops in each nostril (Ksheerabala taila was used for Urdhwajatru for massage) ☐ *Kamdugha rasa* – one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm water for 30 days Group B ☐ *Kamdugha rasa* – one tablet, thrice daily before food along with warm water for 30 days ☐ Anubhuta yoga (Tablet form)- (Godanti bhasma, Guduchi satva, Varatika bhasma, Jatiphala churna, Vibhitaki churna, Shankhapushpi churna- are given Bhavana with Bhringaraja swarasa)— two tablets

☐ Amrutadi taila nasya for first seven consecutive

days in a dose of 8 drops in each nostril (ksheerabala

taila was used for *Urdhwajatru* for massage)

twice a day with warm water.

after food. (1 tablet= 500 mg)

☐ Duration of the intervention: 30 days.

RESULT: The study hypothesized that Group B (Trial Group) intervention will be more effective than Group A (Control Group) intervention in the management of *Ardhavbhedaka* vis-à-vis Migraine. The data were collected from the subjects based on the scoring given to each of the symptoms as mentioned in the assessment criteria. It was collected on 0 days (Pre-test), 8th day (after *Nasya karma*), 16th day (mid-test), and on 31 st day(post-test). The results were analyzed statistically and assessed. The statistical analyses of the results were done using descriptive statistics, Contingency co-efficient test analysis using Service product for statistical solution (SPSS) for Windows software.

To evaluate the result, the following parameters were taken into consideration:

1. Severity of pain: In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group B (CC=0.746) is showing better results than Group A (CC=0.704) for a reduction in Severity of pain.

 Table 1: Showing the Distribution & Results on Severity of Pain

				Sev	erity of pain			
Groups			No pain	Pain tolerable	Do not disturb the routine work	Disturbs the rou- tine work	Intolerable pain 21 80.80% 0 0.00% 0 0.00% 21 20.20% 20	Total
		0 Day		0	0	5	21	26
		ОВау		0.00%	0.00%	19.20%	80.80%	100.00%
	Sessions	8th Day	0	0	5	21	0	26
			0.00%	0.00%	19.20%	80.80%	0.00%	100.00%
Group A		16th	0	6	18	2	0	26
		Day	0.00%	23.10%	69.20%	7.70%	0.00%	100.00%
		31st	3	12	11	0	0	26
		Day	11.50%	46.20%	42.30%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	Total		3	18	34	28	21	104
	Total		2.90%	17.30%	32.70%	26.90%	20.20%	100.00%
		0 Day	0	0	0	6	20	26
		0 Day	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	23.10%	76.90%	100.00%
		8th Day	1	1	12	12	0	26
	Sessions	oui Day	3.80%	3.80%	46.20%	46.20%	0.00%	100.00%
Group B		16t	2	17	7	0	0	26

		h	7.70%	65.40%	26.90%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
		Day						
		31st	18	8	0	0	0	26
		Day	69.20%	30.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	Total		21	26	19	18	20	104
	Total		20.20%	25.00%	18.30%	17.30%	19.20%	100.00%
		0 Day	0	0	0	11	41	52
	Sessions	0 Day	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	21.20%	78.80%	100.00%
		8th Day	1	1	17	33	0	52
		our Day	1.90%	1.90%	32.70%	63.50%	0.00%	100.00%
Total		16th	2	23	25	2	0	52
		Day	3.80%	44.20%	48.10%	3.80%	0.00%	100.00%
		31st	21	20	11	0	0	52
		Day	40.40%	38.50%	21.20%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	Total		24	44	53	46	41	208
	10001		11.50%	21.20%	25.50%	22.10%	19.70%	100.00%

2. Duration of Pain: In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group A (CC=0.747) is showing better results than Group B (CC=0.733) for a reduction in Duration of pain.

 Table 2: Showing the Distribution & Results on Duration of Pain

				Duration of pain					
Group	roup		No pain	Up to 4 hours	4-12 hours	12-24 Hours	Over 24-72 Hours	Total	
		0.0	0	0	0	4	22	26	
		0 Day	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	15.40%	84.60%	100.00%	
		0.1. D	0	0	4	22	0	26	
	Sessions	8th Day	0.00%	0.00%	15.40%	84.60%	0.00%	100.00%	
Group	Bessions	16th	0	6	19	1	0	26	
A		Day	0.00%	23.10%	73.10%	3.80%	0.00%	100.00%	
		31st	3	14	9	0	0	26	
		Day	11.50%	53.80%	34.60%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	Total		3	20	32	27	22	104	
			2.90%	19.20%	30.80%	26.00%	21.20%	100.00%	
		0 Day	0	0	1	6	19	26	
			0.00%	0.00%	3.80%	23.10%	73.10%	100.00%	
		0.1 D	1	1	14	10	0	26	
	Sessions	8th Day	3.80%	3.80%	53.80%	38.50%	0.00%	100.00%	
Group	Bessions	16th	2	17	7	0	0	26	
В		Day	7.70%	65.40%	26.90%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
		31st	18	8	0	0	0	26	
		Day	69.20%	30.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	1		21	26	22	16	19	104	

doi:10.46607/iamj0210022022

			20.20%	25.00%	21.20%	15.40%	18.30%	100.00%
		0 Day	0	0	1	10	41	52
			0.00%	0.00%	1.90%	19.20%	78.80%	100.00%
		0.1.15	1	1	18	32	0	52
	Sessions	8th Day	1.90%	1.90%	34.60%	61.50%	0.00%	100.00%
	Sessions	16th Day	2	23	26	1	0	52
Total			3.80%	44.20%	50.00%	1.90%	0.00%	100.00%
			21	22	9	0	0	52
		Day	40.40%	42.30%	17.30%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	T . 1			46	54	43	41	208
	Total		11.50%	22.10%	26.00%	20.70%	19.70%	100.00%

3. Frequency of attack: In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group B (CC=0.720) is showing better results than Group A (CC=0.685) for a reduction in Frequency of attack.

 Table 3: Showing the Distribution & Results on Frequency of Attack

				Frequency Of Attack						
Group			No at- tacks	15th - 30th day	8-15th day	0- 8th day	Continuous / Daily	Total		
		0	0	1	0	7	18	26		
		Day	0.00%	3.80%	0.00%	26.90%	69.20%	100.00%		
		8th	1	0	7	18	0	26		
	Sessions	Day	3.80%	0.00%	26.90%	69.20%	0.00%	100.00%		
	Dessions	16th	1	19	6	0	0	26		
Group		Day	3.80%	73.10%	23.10%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
A		31st	4	22	0	0	0	26		
		Day	15.40%	84.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
			6	42	13	25	18	104		
	Total		5.80%	40.40%	12.50%	24.00%	17.30%	100.00%		
		0 Day	0	0	1	9	16	26		
			0.00%	0.00%	3.80%	34.60%	61.50%	100.00%		
		8th	1	0	8	17	0	26		
	Sessions	Day	3.80%	0.00%	30.80%	65.40%	0.00%	100.00%		
	Dessions	16th	2	14	10	0	0	26		
Group		Day	7.70%	53.80%	38.50%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
В		31st	18	8	0	0	0	26		
		Day	69.20%	30.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
	5 1			22	19	26	16	104		
	Total		20.20%	21.20%	18.30%	25.00%	15.40%	100.00%		
		0	0	1	1	16	34	52		
		Day	0.00%	1.90%	1.90%	30.80%	65.40%	100.00%		

Sessions		8th	2	0	15	35	0	52
		Day	3.80%	0.00%	28.80%	67.30%	0.00%	100.00%
	16th	3	33	16	0	0	52	
		Day	5.80%	63.50%	30.80%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
		31st	22	30	0	0	0	52
		Day	42.30%	57.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
-	m . 1	m 1		64	32	51	34	208
	Total		13.00%	30.80%	15.40%	24.50%	16.30%	100.00%

Associated symptoms:

- 1. **Nausea:** it's included in vomiting
- 2. **Vomiting**: In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group B (CC=0.743) is showing better results than Group A (CC=0.612) for Vomiting.
- 3. **Photophobia:** In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group B (CC=0.698) is showing better results than Group A (CC=0.552) for Photophobia.
- 4. **Vertigo:** The results obtained regarding reduction in Vertigo showed a highly significant result in Group A with a p-value of 0.000 and significant in Group B with a p-value of 0.000.
- 5. **Tinnitus:** The results obtained regarding the reduction in Tinnitus showed a non-significant result in both the groups with a p-value of 0.387 in Group A and 0.564 in Group B.
- 6. **Aura:** The results obtained regarding the reduction in Aura showed a non-significant result in both the groups with a p-value of 0.259 in Group A and 0.167 in Group B.
- 7. **Phonophobia:** In this study, the contingency coefficient value revealed that Group B (CC=0.580) is showing better results than Group A (CC=0.472) for Phonophobia.
- 8. **Numbness:** In Group A among 26 subjects, Numbness was present in 2(7.7%) subjects and absent in 24(92.3%) subjects in the pre-test assessment.
- 9. **Heaviness**: None of the subjects reported this symptom in either of the group.
- 10. **Tenderness:** The results obtained regarding reduction in Tenderness showed a significant result

- in Group B with a p-value of 0.029 and non-significant in Group A with a p-value of 0.051.
- 11. **Diarrhoea:** The results obtained regarding reduction in Diarrhoea showed non-significant results in both the groups with a p-value of 0.387.
- 12. **Confusional status:** The result regarding reduction in Confusional status showed non-significant results in Group A with a p-value of 0.247 and significant results in Group B with a p-value of 0.050.

DISCUSSION

In this study parameters like the severity of pain, duration of pain, frequency of attack and associated symptoms like nausea, vomiting, photophobia, vertigo, phonophobia showed statistically highly significant results with a p-value of 0.000 in both the groups. It is due to property of Amrutadi taila in the form of Nasya and Kamadugha rasa orally acted as, Vedana stapaka, Srotoshodhaka, Rakta prasadaka and Pitta-Vata pradhana Tridosha prashamana activity. But it was observed that reduction in these parameters was sustained for a longer duration in Group B (trail group). It is probably because of added effect of drugs used in indigenous formulation, i.e., Godanti bhasma and Varatika bhasma - which chiefly contain calcium and magnesium in small quantities. Calcium also controls the excitability of nerves and muscles. Researchers suggest that decreased magnesium play an important role in Migraine headache, hence magnesium supplementation may reduce the incidence of vascular disease. It also affects serotonin receptors and a variety of other migraine-related receptors and neurotransmitters.

Jatiphala churna- has anti-inflammatory and analgesic property and acts on Dopaminergic and serotonin pathways which is chiefly involved in Migraine. Shankhapushpi acts as a psychostimulant, tranquillizer, and neuroprotective. Bhringaraja – is traditionally used in folk culture as a remedy against pain and inflammation. The research study also concluded that Eclipta alba extract (fresh leaves juice) has a similar effect in alleviating pain. Thus, the action of all these drugs in combination with Amrutadi taila nasya and Kamadugha rasa contributed to Samprapti vighatana of Ardhavbhedaka vis-à-vis Migraine. The other parameters like tinnitus, aura, numbness, tenderness, diarrhoea and Confusional status showed insignificant results. This is because of the unequal distribution of subjects between the groups presenting with these symptoms.

CONCLUSION

Based on concepts, analysis, and clinical observations made in this study, the following conclusions were drawn. Ardhavbhedaka is a shoola pradhana vyadhi characterized by the feeling of severe pain like Arani kantakavat in half part of the head involving manya, Bhru, shakha, karna, akshi and Lalita. The Dushyas such as Rasa, Rakta and Srothas such as Vata vaha and Raktavaha, play an important role in the pathology of the disease Ardhavbhedaka. Ardhavbhedaka as a clinical condition is similar to Migraine described in western medical science. Amrutadi taila explained in Sahara yoga is a formulation advised for the management of Shiroroga and some of the individual drugs which are indicated and also being practically used in Shiroroga were made in tablet form. Also, the Rasa, Guna, Veerya, Vipaka, and Doshaghnata of individual ingredients and the formulation as a whole were analyzed and hypotheses that it is having Vedana stapaka, Rakta prasadaka, Pitta-Vata pradhana Tridoshahara property which is mainly required in the samprapti vighatana of the disease Ardhavbhedaka. So, this formulation was selected as a trial in the present study. This is a controlled clinical study, conducted on 52 subjects with 26 subjects in each group. In the study it was observed that the trial Group

(Group B) showed clinically and statistically highly significant results; in reduction of severity of pain, duration of pain, Frequency of attack, Nausea, Vomiting, Photophobia, vertigo, and Phonophobia with a p-value of 0.000; significant in the reduction of Tenderness with a p-value of 0.029; significant in the reduction of Confusional status with a p-value of 0.050. The control group (Group A) showed the clinically and statistically highly significant results in a reduction of severity of pain, duration of pain, Frequency of attack, Nausea, Vomiting, Photophobia, vertigo, and Phonophobia with a p-value of 0.000; showed the non-significant result in a reduction of Tinnitus (0.387), Aura (0.073), Diarrhoea (0.387), Tenderness (0.051) and Confusional status (0.247). On comparing the overall effect of the study, the trial group (Group B) showed better results than the control group (Group A). Hence, Indigenous drug formulation has a better role in the management of Ardhavbhedaka.

REFERENCES

- Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary b Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Sutrastana-29/3, pp-181.
- Vāgbhaṭṭa (2002), Aṣṭāṇga Hṛdaya, with Sarvanga Sundara Commentry by Arunadatta, (Ed) Bhishagacharya Harishastri Vaidya, Chaukamba Orientalia, Varanasi. Uttaratantra 23/7-8, pp-859.
- Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary by Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Siddistana 9/75-76, pp-721.
- Sushruta (2010) Suśruta Samhitā, with Nibandha Sangraha Commentary by Dalhanacharya, (ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Uttaratantra-25/15, pp-655.
- Vāgbhaṭṭa (2002), Aṣṭāṇga Hṛdaya, with Sarvanga Sundara Commentry by Arunadatta, (Ed) Bhishagacharya Harishastri Vaidya, Chaukamba Orientalia, Varanasi. Uttaratantra 23/7-8, pp-859.
- 6. Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary by Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Siddistana 9/76, pp-721.

- Vāgbhaṭa (2002), Aṣṭānga Hṛdaya, with Sarvanga Sundara Commentry by Arunadatta, (Ed) Bhishagacharya Harishastri Vaidya, Chaukamba Orientalia, Varanasi. Uttaratantra 23/7-8, pp-859.
- Mādhavakara (2005), Mādhava Nidāna (vol-2), Hindi Vyākhyā by Ācārya Sudarshana shastri, Chaukambha publications, Varanasi, chapter 60/33 shloka. pp-404.
- Anonymous (2008), Yogaratnakara, (Ed) Dr Madam Shetty Suresh babu, Chaukambha publications, Varanasi.Shirorogadhikara-19th shloka, pp-1077.
- 10. www.Wikipedia.org/ Migraine Headache.
- 11. www.ihs-headache.org
- 12. Peter j. Goadsby, Neil H. Raskin (2012)-Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine (Vol-I), McGraw-Hill Companies, Chapter 14, pp-116-117.
- 13. Glen Aurerman-AM FAM, Physician:2002 dec, 1; 66(11),2123-2131.
- Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary by Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Siddistana 9/78, pp-721.
- 15. 15.Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary by Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Siddistana 9/88, pp-722.
- 16. 16.Agnivesha (2008)- Charaka samhita, Ayurveda Deepika commentary by Chakrapanidatta, (Ed) Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Chaukamba Sanskrit Sansthan, Varanasi, Sutrastana-17/18, pp-100.

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict of Interest: None Declared

How to cite this URL: Nalina A S: A Controlled Clinical Study To Evaluate The Efficacy Of Indigenous Drug Formulation In Ardhavabhedaka Vis-A-Vis Migraine. International Ayurvedic Medical Journal {online} 2022 {cited February 2022} Available from: http://www.iamj.in/posts/images/upload/277_286.pdf