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INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a dreadful disease which afflicts all communities worldwide. Approximately 10 million people are diagnosed with cancer and more than 6 million die of the disease every year. Today it is the second leading cause of death. In India it is estimated that there are approximately 2-2.5 million cases of cancers at any given point of time with around 70,000 new cases being detected each year, and nearly half of these cases die each year.1 Cancer is defined as a mass of tissue formed as a result of abnormal, excessive, uncoordinated, autonomous and purposeless proliferation of cells.2 Ayurveda classics were not unaware of the malignant disease. They referred to it as superficial swellings on the body (arbuda).

By definition arbuda is a swelling occurring anywhere in the body due to vitiation of tridoshas(Biological humours), afflicting the mamsadhatu(Muscle tissue), which is circuclar, fixed and firm, slightly painful, big or wide spread, deep seated, slowly growing and non-supportive.3 When arbuda situated in deeper structures were described as gulma, which is defined as a timorous growth that has developed from mahasrotas(GI Tract) which has palpable mass, elevated from inside the body, hard to touch and round in shape and is non-supportive.4 Also based on the clinical presentations in relation to different organs and systems involved, its cause, prognosis and treatment, various diseases described in Ayurvedic classics may be considered as malignant eg.,
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if it affects the pranavaha srotas (Respiratory tract) - kshataja kasa, when it affects the yakrit(Liver) and pleeha(Spleen) – yakritodara(Hepatomegaly) and pleehodara(Spleenomegaly), when affects the annavaha srotas GI Tract) – gulma.

Cancer is the most dreadful, grave and tissue invasive disease which gradually hampers the quality of life and causes decreased life expectancy. In spite of giant strides made by the modern medicine, most of the problems of cancer still lack permanent solutions in advanced stages of cancer. Till date in modern science the major conventional therapies for cancer has been applied namely, surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The present treatment of cancer is highly expensive irrespective of the stage of disease, may not be affordable by all levels of community. Also modern treatments for cancer are complex, unpleasant for patients and relatives, as it requires long period of treatment with the risk of relapse. Today when modern medicine battles relentlessly to end the trauma of cancer patients, there comes the need of Ayurveda in solving the problem. With this background the present study has been taken to evaluate the effect of Ayurvedic multiformulae internally in the management of Arbuda w.s.r. to cancer.

**OBJECTIVES:**
To evaluate the combined effect of;
- **Brihat gulma kalanala rasa**
- **Kanchanara guggulu**
- **Varunadi kashaya**
- **Madhusnuhi rasayana**
- **Amalaki + Amrita choorna** …. In the management of arbuda w.s.r. to cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

The materials taken for the study were,  

i) **Brihat Gulmakalalanala Rasa**

ii) **Kanchanaraguggulu**

iii) **Varunadi Kashaya**

iv) **Madhusnuhi Rasayana**

v) **Amalaki and Amrita Choorna**

**Methods**

**Source of Data:** The patients of either sex diagnosed as carcinoma were selected from OPD and IPD of Government Ayurveda Medical College and Hospital, Mysore and Preeti Cancer Centre, Mysore. The patients were registered and treated as in-patients and out-patients with the help of case sheet pro forma prepared for the study.

**Sampling Method and Research Design:**
Patients diagnosed as cancer were selected incidentally from the OPD and IPD of Government Ayurveda Medical College and Hospital, Mysore and Preeti Cancer Centre, Mysore. The total number of cases selected for the study was fourteen excluding the dropouts. These fourteen patients were assigned into single group.

**Study Design:** Observational study

**Inclusion Criteria**

- All types of cancer patients in stage 4.
- Also cancer patients who are willing to take part in this treatment irrespective of stage.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Patients with systemic disorders which interfere the treatment.

**Diagnostic Criteria**

- Cytology / Biopsy proved malignancies.
- Radiological documented metastatic diseases.

**Intervention**

1. **Brihat gulmakalalanala rasa** – 500mg BD after food with mandoshna jala.
2. Tab. **Kanchanaraguggulu** – 500mg 2 TID after food with jala.
3. **Varunadi kashaya** – 30ml TID before food.
4. **Madhusnuhi rasayana** 5gm BD after food
5. **Amalaki choorna** and amrita choorna – 5gms TID along with hot water given after food. The duration of treatment was 6 months.

**Assessment Criteria**

The assessment was based on the symptoms relief by grading. The following criteria were considered for the assessment of improvement.

2. Documentation of the pain – in terms of severity.
3. Measurement of weight in kilogram
4. Symptoms were graded in order of severity.
   a. The subjective parameters were assessed once in 15 days during the treatment period.
   b. The objective parameters (radiological examination) were assessed once in 2 months, while weight was measured once in 15 days.

**OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**

**OBSERVATIONS:** After careful clinical investigations and examination of the patients different findings of observation were recorded according to case sheet. These observations were analyzed and recorded here. In the present clinical study 22 patients were registered out of which 8 dropouts were observed due to various reasons.

**RESULTS:** The data regarding the samples were collected depending upon the scoring given to each of the symptom. As present study was conducted on one group with small sample size of 14 different sites of cancers, it was not appropriate to apply major statistical measures to analyze the results. Hence each case is discussed in detail to assess the relief in clinical features, quality of life, life expectancy and tumor size etc. in discussion part. The overall assessment was made in percentages of relief in symptoms, signs, general condition, life expectancy etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Relief in Symptoms</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ‘study treatment’ has shown efficacy in relieving the symptoms on majority of the patients i.e. 12 patients out of 14 patients with percentage of 87.45 and while 2 patients (12.86%) were not responded to the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Improvement in Performance Status</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

87.14% of patients showed improvement in performance status (general condition) noted on Karofsky scales. While 12.86% of the patients were not shown any improvement in general condition.
Table No.31 Showing the Further Growth of Tumor Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Further growth seen</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 35.71% of patients the tumor size not grown further during the treatment.

Table No.32 Showing the Survival Benefit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Survival Benefit</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>80.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of 14 patients 3 patients (19.29%) showed possible survival benefit compared to expected median survival as per the extent of disease.

**INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSION**

Majority of the clinical features of *arbuda* simulates with the disease cancer. The study treatment has found effective in management of cancer as a palliative care treatment. It also showed some survival benefit and some possible anti-tumor activity. This study helps us to correlate terminologies used in Ayurveda with modern medicine. It may be appropriate to use the term malignancy for *arbuda*, *gulma*, *yakritodara*, *kshataja kasa* etc., depending upon the sites of the disease described in Ayurveda. The study treatment has proved to be beneficial in improving the general condition and well being of cancer patients as documented by the improvement in performance status noted on Karnofsky Scales. The same combination of drugs has been used to treat cancers of different sites taking only ‘cancer’ as the basic common factor. The study treatment has shown some efficacy in majority of patients (12 out of 14), showing effectiveness in malignancies in general. The study treatment has been effective in reducing symptoms produced by cancer in 12 out of 14. Showing its possible effectiveness as a palliative care treatment.

Two of the patient have shown a possible survival benefit compared to expected median survival as per extant of disease. Another interesting feature is that, in five patients out of fourteen, the tumor has not grown in size during the period of observation, showing possibility of some anti-tumor activity. Further evaluation of the ‘study drugs’ probably in a prospective randomized setting against the palliative treatment of modern medicine and / or best symptomatic care is needed.
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