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INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda is an ancient system of medicine. The science deals with the whole study right from basic fundamentals up to the greatest achievements in the field of medicine and surgery. The marma science is one of the exclusive concepts of Ayurveda which has been well developed by keen observations, especially at the time of surgical procedures. These are very special and vital superficial points spread on the whole body surface. They are special because these points are the sites of ‘prana’¹ (Life processes). Moreover, vishama spandana² is also very phenomenal characteristics of these marma points. Acharya Sushrut in his marma adhyaya³, Acharya Charak in his Trimarmiya siddhi adhyaya⁴ and chikitsa adhyaya⁵, Ashtanga hridayam in his marmavibhaga⁶ have made classical description throwing light on every aspect of marma.

The marmas are very important from traumatological point of view. It has been observed that any trauma at this very point is more threatening or found to be delayed in recovery from injuries. Acharya Sushrut has mentioned the same in marmabhighta lakshanas⁷. The behavior of marma after trauma or injury is also depending upon its structural type. In present era, it has been observed that the injuries on these areas produce temporary or permanent, structural or functional deformity even after best treatments. So, to clear these doubts in our mind and to find out exact reason for these disabilities or deformities, the detail study of marma science is essential.

Objective –
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Keywords: Marma, Kurpara marma, sandhi marma, vaikalyakara marma, kunitwa
1. To collect all the available literatures with respect to sandhi and vaikalyaka marma.
2. To locate the exact area of kurpara marma and find out contents in it.
3. To prove kurpara marma is sandhi marma structurally and vaikalyaka marma prognostically.

Materials and Methods –

1. Review of literature: All relevant references were collected in both Ayurved & Modern Science.
2. Experimental work: It has been carried out in following two ways.
   1. Structural study & Clinical survey

For the structural study of kurpara marma, we have carried out dissection of either elbow joint of cadaver. We have used following materials.

1. Surgical scissor
2. Lens
3. Hand gloves & Mask
4. Forceps (simple & tooth)
5. Muscle Retractors
6. Camera

For clinical survey and observations, we used following materials.

1) Measuring tape
2) Reports of X-ray
3) X-ray films of the patients
4) Camera.

Methods –

For structural study of kurpara marma, we have selected three anguli (digits) areas on either elbow joint of selected healthy individual in an anatomical position. Then three fully unidirectional extended fingers i.e. index finger, middle finger and ring finger were kept from above downwards on the elbow joint that these three well close with each other. We have confirmed this area as a site of kurpara marma as Vagbhata have mentioned--
a) **Visham Spandana** – Pulsation of brachial artery medially to the elbow joint.

b) **Pain on pressure** – Felt tingling numbness on putting pressure posterior to the medial condyle of humerus because of presence of ulnar nerve. For clinical survey, we have selected 100 patients of elbow joint injuries of falls, burns, accidents, sports injuries from civil Hospital, Akola and Gadda Orthopedic and Trauma centre, Digras (M.S.), irrespective of age, sex, religion and occupation.

**The patients selected are**—
1. Acute injury of elbow joint
2. The patients having history of falls on elbow joint more than 2-3 times.
3. The patients of sports injuries.

**The patients excluded from the study are**—
1) Dumb and deaf patients 2) Unconscious patients 3) Patients of arthritis

These patients were examined completely with the help of prepared case proforma. They were assessed in following manner-
1) Assessment at the time of admission
2) Assessment after 2-3 months
3) Reassessment after 04 weeks again.

**Assessment Criteria** – The signs and symptoms observed in the patients were assessed. (Mild / Moderate / Severe)
1. Pain at elbow joint
2. Swelling over elbow joint
3. Weakness in forearm muscle
4. Tingling Numbness
5. Inability in movements (flexion and extension)
6. Hand grasping power
7. Forearm sensory loss

All this data has been analyzed scientifically.

**Observations – Table 1:**
1. In these patients, we have observed following signs and symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No</th>
<th>Sign / Symptom</th>
<th>No of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Pain at elbow joint</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Swelling at elbow joint</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Loss of muscle power in forearm</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Restricted flexion of elbow</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Restricted extension of elbow</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Tingling Numbness</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Muscle Atrophy</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Hand grasping power</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Forearm sensory loss</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:**
2. All these selected 100 patients of elbow joint injuries were diagnosed with the help of modern techniques (X-ray reports). These patients were of following injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury diagnosed</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#of olecranon</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3:
These patients were assessed after 2-3 months. The following signs / symptoms were found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No.</th>
<th>Signs/ Symptoms</th>
<th>No of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Pain at the elbow joint</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Swelling over the elbow joint</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Restricted extension of the elbow</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Restricted extension of the elbow</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Tingling Numbness</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Weakness in forearm muscles</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Weakness in hand grasping</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Partially sensory loss over forearm</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4:
The patients showing weakness in forearm muscles were analyzed on the basis of gradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No contraction</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trace contraction</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Active movement with gravity eliminated</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Active movements against gravity</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Active movements against gravity &amp; movement</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>75.86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Normal power (Minimal Weakness)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All these patients were observed again after 04 weeks. It has been found that maximum number of patients has followed the physio-therapies and exercises as advised by consultants. The following signs & symptoms were observed.

Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No.</th>
<th>Signs/ Symptoms</th>
<th>No of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Pain at the elbow joint</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Swelling over the elbow joint</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Restricted extension of the elbow</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Restricted extension of the elbow</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
05 Weakness in forearm muscles 58 58%
06 Partially sensory loss over forearm 41 41%
07 Weak Hand grasping power 55 55%

The above data shows in 58% of patients the symptoms remained was grade IV & V weakness in forearm muscles which also alters to the symptom of weak hand grasping power.

DISCUSSION:
The present research study has been carried out in following ways.
1. Review of literature
2. Experimental Research

According to Sushrut, the kurpara marma is one of the important sandhimarma (structurally) and vaikalyakara marma (prognostically) located in three anguli (digits) area at the elbow joint. Initially the three anguli area is determined where the kurpara marma is situated as shown in figure.

To study the kurpara marma structurally, we have dissected the elbow joint of cadaver and found that this area of three anguli is situated in between the medial and lateral epicondyles of humerus. The whole area is enriched with muscles, arteries, veins and nerves. Actually this area is supposed to be the base of cubital fossa. The structures found after the dissection of cadaveric elbow are:

1. Bicipital Aponeurosis is (insersion of biceps brachii), brachialis (floor of cubital fossa), brachioradialis (at the exterior part of elbow joint), flexor carpi ulnaris, flexor carpi radialis, palmaris longus (origin at medial epicondyle) – (Mansa)

   Bifurcation of brachial artery into radial & ulnar artery, ulnar nerve, radial nerve & median nerve – (Sira)

2. Radial and ulnar collateral ligaments – (Snayu)

3. Lower end of humerus – Trochlea & capitulum, upper end of radius & ulna, olecranon process &coronoid process. – (Asthi)

4. Humeroulnar, Humeroradial and Radioulnar joints – (Sandhi)

Sushruta assumes that marma is a aggregation of mansa, sira, snayu, asthi and sandhi. We have found all these contents more or less at this site. Vagbhata has mentioned “Vishama Spandhana” and “Pain” as special characteristics of marma which has been already experienced and described at the time of determination of site of kurpara marma. It means that it satisfies the definition of marma by Sushruta. The important structure present in this region is naturally sandhi (joint) and other structures are surrounding and supplying to the joint. So we can say that kurpara marma is sandhi marma. In the clinical survey, we have selected 100 patients of elbow joint injuries who were already diagnosed as tennis elbow, fracture of olecranon, fracture of coronoid process, posterior dislocation, supracondylar fractures and inter condylar fractures. After observing these patients, the following signs and symptoms were found in most of the patients.

1) Pain at the elbow joint
2) Swelling over elbow joint
3) Weakness in forearm muscle
4) Inability in movements
5) Weak hand grasping power
6) Forearm sensory loss

In those patients, some structural deformity also observed in their X-ray films. All these patients again observed after the period of 2-3 months after getting
surgical/necessary treatment according to the diseases. The following symptoms remained with those patients.

a) Weakness in forearm muscles.
b) Restricted flexion
c) Restricted extension
d) Weak hand grasping power
e) Tingling Numbness

The same 100 patients again observed after one month and the symptoms like inability to movements, tingling numbness have shown remarkable improvement, but the weakness in forearm muscles and weak hand grasping power remained with the patients. As quoted by Sushrutha, ‘Kunitwa’ (weakness) is the ultimate sign produced after kurpara marma being traumatized. ‘Kunitwa’ means weakness at forearm that is what we found in most of the patients even after 03 months of time. So we can definitely consider the kurpara marma as vaikalyakara marma after its prognostic study.

CONCLUSION:

On the basis of dissection the three digits area of kurpara marma contains humeroulnar, humeroradial and radioulnar joints which means that sandhi is major component (approx – 40 %) and others are assisting in its movements & supply. Hence, we can conclude that kurpara marma is sandhi marma. In clinical survey, 100 patients selected of elbow joint injuries were observed at the time of admission, after 2-3 months and after 4 weeks. At the end, in those patients the symptoms remained are IV & V grade weakness in forearm muscles which is similar to ‘Kunitwa’ mentioned by acharya Sushrutha. The weakness in forearm muscles occur when the brachial artery and peripheral nerves fails to supply in elbow joint injuries. The patients showing these symptoms are of fractures of supracondylar & intercondylar in which the above said contents found to be damaged. This area is exactly the same which we have located for kurpara marma. Hence, we can conclude that the prognostic study of kurpara marma confirmed it as vaikalyakara marma.
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